

QUADRATIC COVARIATIONS FOR THE SOLUTION TO A STOCHASTIC HEAT EQUATION*

XICHAO SUN¹, LITAN YAN^{2,§} AND XIANYE YU²

¹*Department of Mathematics and Physics, Bengbu University
1866 Caoshan Rd., Bengbu 233030, P.R. China*

²*Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Donghua University
2999 North Renmin Rd., Songjiang, Shanghai 201620, P.R. China*

ABSTRACT. Let $u(t, x)$ be the solution to a stochastic heat equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x}X(t, x), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$$

with initial condition $u(0, x) \equiv 0$, where X is a time-space white noise. This paper is an attempt to study stochastic analysis questions of the solution $u(t, x)$. In fact, the solution is a Gaussian process such that the process $t \mapsto u(t, \cdot)$ is a bi-fractional Brownian motion seemed a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index $H = \frac{1}{4}$ for every real number x . However, the properties of the process $x \mapsto u(\cdot, x)$ are unknown. In this paper we consider the quadratic covariations of the two processes $x \mapsto u(\cdot, x), t \mapsto u(t, \cdot)$. We show that $x \mapsto u(\cdot, x)$ admits a nontrivial finite quadratic variation and the forward integral of some adapted processes with respect to it coincides with "Itô's integral", but it is not a semimartingale. Moreover, some generalized Itô's formulas and Bouleau-Yor identities are introduced.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Some basic estimates and divergence integrals	5
3. The quadratic covariation of process $\{u(\cdot, x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}$	11
4. The Itô's formula of process $\{u(\cdot, x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}$	22
5. The Bouleau-Yor identity of $\{u(\cdot, x), x \geq 0\}$	27
6. The quadratic covariation of process $\{u(t, \cdot), t \geq 0\}$	30
References	33

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $u(t, x)$ denote the solution to the stochastic heat equation

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x}X(t, x), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$$

with initial condition $u(0, x) \equiv 0$, where $\dot{X}$ is a time-space white noise on $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$. That is,

$$u(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t-r, x-y) X(dr, dy),$$

*The Project-sponsored by NSFC (No. 11571071, 11426036), and Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission (No. 12ZZ063)

§litan-yan@hotmail.com (Corresponding Author)

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60G15, 60H05, 60H15.

Key words and phrases. Stochastic heat equation, stochastic integral, Itô formula, quadratic covariation, local time, Bi-fractional Brownian motion.

where $p(t, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t}}$ is the heat kernel. Then, these processes $(t, x) \mapsto u(t, x)$, $t \mapsto u(t, \cdot)$ and $x \mapsto u(\cdot, x)$ are Gaussian. Swanson [32] has showed that

$$(1.2) \quad E[u(t, x)u(s, x)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left((t+s)^{1/2} - |t-s|^{1/2} \right), \quad t, s \geq 0,$$

and the process $t \mapsto u(t, x)$ has a nontrivial quartic variation. This shows that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the process $t \mapsto u(t, x)$ coincides with the bi-fractional Brownian motion and it is not a semimartingale, so a stochastic integral with respect to the process $t \mapsto u(t, x)$ cannot be defined in the classical Itô sense. Some surveys and complete literatures for bi-fractional Brownian motion could be found in Houdré and Villa [14], Kruk *et al* [15], Lei and Nualart [19], Russo and Tudor [28], Tudor and Xiao [35] and Yan *et al* [38], and the references therein. It is important to note for a large class of parabolic SPDEs, one obtains better regularity results when the solution u is viewed as a process $t \mapsto u(t, x)$ taking values in Sobolev space, rather than for each fixed x . Denis [4] and Krylov [16] considered a class of stochastic partial differential equations driven by a multidimensional Brownian motion and showed that the solution is a Dirichlet processes. These inspire one to consider stochastic calculus with respect to the solution to the stochastic heat equation. It is well known that many authors have studied some Itô analysis questions of the solutions of some stochastic partial differential equations and introduced the related Itô and Tanaka formula (see, for examples, Da Prato *et al* [3], Deya and Tindel [5], Gradinaru *et al* [13], Lanconelli [17, 18], León and Tindel *et al* [20], Nualart and Vuillermot [23], Ouahhabi and Tudor [24], Pardoux [25], Torres *et al* [33], Tudor [34], Tudor and Xiao [36], Zambotti [41], and the references therein). Almost all of these studies considered only the process in time, and there is a little discussion about the process $x \mapsto u(\cdot, x)$. This paper is an attempt to study stochastic analysis questions of the solution $u(t, x)$.

On the other hand, we shall see (in Section 3) that the process $x \mapsto u(\cdot, x)$ admits a nontrivial finite quadratic variation coinciding with the classical Brownian motion in any finite interval, and moreover we shall also see (in Section 4) that the forward integral of some adapted processes with respect to $x \mapsto u(\cdot, x)$ coincides with "Itô's integral". As a noise, the stochastic process $u = \{u(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is *very rough* in time and it is not white in space. However, the process $x \mapsto u(\cdot, x)$ admits some characteristics similar to Brownian motion. These results, together with the works of Swanson [32], point out that the process $u = \{u(t, x)\}$ as a noise admits the next special structures:

- It is very rough in time and similar to fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index $H = \frac{1}{4}$, but it has not stationary increments.
- It is not white in space, but its quadratic variation coincides with the classical Brownian motion and it is not self-similar.
- The process in space variable is not a semimartingale, but the forward integral of some adapted processes with respect to the process in space variable coincides with "Itô's integral".
- The process $u = \{u(t, x)\}$ admits a simple representation via Wiener integral with respect to Brownian sheet.
- Though the process $u = \{u(t, x)\}$ is Gaussian, as a noise, its time and space parts are farraginous. We can not decompose its covariance as the product of two independent parts. This is very different from fractional noise and white noise. In fact, we have

$$Eu(t, x)u(s, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^s \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+s-2r}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x-y)^2}{2(t+s-2r)} \right\} dr$$

for all $t \geq s > 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Therefore, it seems interesting to study the integrals

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)u(t, dx), \quad \int_0^t f(s)u(ds, x), \quad \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s, x)u(ds, dx),$$

and some related stochastic (partial) differential equations. For example, one can consider the following "iterated" stochastic partial differential equations:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u^j = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u^j + f(u^j) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} u^{j-1}(t, x), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where u^0 is a time-space white noise. Of course, one can also consider some sample path properties and singular integrals associated with the solution process $u = \{u(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$. We will carry out these projects in some forthcoming works. In the present paper our start points are to study the quadratic variations of the two processes $x \mapsto u(\cdot, x)$ and $t \mapsto u(t, \cdot)$. Our objects are to study the quadratic covariations of $x \mapsto u(\cdot, x)$ and $t \mapsto u(t, \cdot)$, and moreover, we shall also introduce some generalized Itô formulas associated with $\{u(\cdot, x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\{u(t, \cdot), t \geq 0\}$, respectively, and to consider their local times and Bouleau-Yor's identities.

To expound our aim, let us start with a basic definition. An elementary calculation can show that (see Section 2)

$$(1.3) \quad E[(u(t, x) - u(s, y))^2] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\sqrt{2|t - s|} + \Delta(s, t, x - y) \right)$$

for all $t, s > 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$\Delta(s, t, z) = \int_0^{s \wedge t} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(t - r)}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{t + s - 2r}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{z^2}{2(t + s - 2r)} \right\} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(s - r)}} \right) dr$$

for $t, s > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}$. This simple estimate inspires us to consider the following limits:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} E[(u(t, x + \varepsilon) - u(t, x))^2] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{4r}} \right) dr \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{s^2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{s^2}{4}} \right) ds = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} E[(u(t + \varepsilon, x) - u(t, x))^2] = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon} + \delta} E[(u(t + \varepsilon, x + \delta) - u(t, x))^2] &= 1, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon} + \delta} E[(u(t + \varepsilon, x + \delta) - u(t, x))^2] &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. However, it is easy to see that the limit

$$\lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ \delta \rightarrow 0}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon} + \delta} E[(u(t + \varepsilon, x + \delta) - u(t, x))^2]$$

does not exist for all $t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$ by taking $\varepsilon = k\delta^2$ with $k > 0$. Thus, the next definition is natural.

Definition 1.1. Denote $B := \{B_t := u(t, \cdot), t \geq 0\}$ and $W := \{W_x := u(\cdot, x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Let $I_x = [0, x]$ for $x \geq 0$ and $I_x = [x, 0]$ for $x \leq 0$. Define the integrals

$$\begin{aligned} I_\delta^1(f, x, t) &= \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{I_x} \{f(W_{y+\delta}) - f(W_y)\} (W_{y+\delta} - W_y) dy, \\ I_\varepsilon^2(f, x, t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^t \{f(B_{s+\varepsilon}) - f(B_s)\} (B_{s+\varepsilon} - B_s) \frac{ds}{2\sqrt{s}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon, \delta > 0$, where f is a measurable function on $\mathbb{R}$. The limits $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} I_\delta^1(f, t, x)$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_\varepsilon^2(f, t, x)$ are called the partial quadratic covariations (PQC, in short) in space and

in time, respectively, of $f(u)$ and u , provided these limits exist in probability. We denote them by $[f(W), W]_x^{(SQ)}$ and $[f(B), B]_t^{(TQ)}$, respectively.

Clearly, we have (see Section 3)

$$[f(W), W]_x^{(SQ)} = \int_{I_x} f'(W_y) dy$$

and $[W, W]_x^{(SQ)} = |x|$ for all $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}), t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$. We also have (see Section 6)

$$[f(B), B]_t^{(TQ)} = \int_0^t f'(B_s) \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi s}}$$

and $[B, B]_t^{(TQ)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} t$ for all $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$. These say that the process $W = \{W_x = u(\cdot, x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ admits a nontrivial finite quadratic variation in any finite interval I_x . This is also a main motivation to study the solution of (1.1).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish some technical estimates associated with the solution, and as some applications we introduce Wiener integrals with respect to the two processes $B = \{B_t = u(t, \cdot), t \geq 0\}$ and $W = \{W_x = u(\cdot, x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}$, respectively. In Section 3 we show that the quadratic variation $[W, W]^{(SQ)}$ exists in $L^2(\Omega)$ and equals to $|x|$ in every finite interval I_x . For a given $t > 0$, by estimating in L^2

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{I_x} f(W_{y+\varepsilon})(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y) dy \quad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{I_x} f(W_y)(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y) dy \quad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$, respectively, we construct a Banach space $\mathcal{H}_t$ of measurable functions such that the PQC $[f(W), W]^{(SQ)}$ in space exists in $L^2(\Omega)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_t$, and in particular we have

$$[f(W), W]_x^{(SQ)} = \int_{I_x} f'(W_y) dy$$

provided $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$. In Section 4, as an application of Section 3, we show that the Itô's formula

$$F(W_x) = F(W_0) + \int_{I_x} f(W_y) \delta W_y + \frac{1}{2} [f(W), W]_x^{(SQ)}$$

holds for all $t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$, where the integral $\int_{I_x} f'(W_y) \delta W_y$ denotes the Skorohod integral, F is an absolutely continuous function with the derivative $F' = f \in \mathcal{H}_t$. In order to show that the above Itô formula we first introduce a standard Itô type formula

$$(1.6) \quad F(W_x) = F(W_0) + \int_{I_x} F'(W_y) \delta W_y + \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_x} F''(W_y) dy$$

for all $F \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying some suitable conditions. It is important to note that the Gaussian process $W = \{W_x = u(\cdot, x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ does not satisfy the condition in Alós *et al* [1] since

$$E[u(t, x)^2] = \sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}}, \quad \frac{d}{dx} E[u(t, x)^2] = 0$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We need to give the proof of the formula (1.6). Moreover, we also show that the forward integral (see Russo-Vallois [30, 31])

$$\int_{I_x} f(W_y) d^- W_y := \text{ucp} \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{I_x} f(W_y) (W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y) dy$$

coincides with the Skorohod integral $\int_{I_x} f(W_y) \delta W_y$, if f satisfies the growth condition

$$(1.7) \quad |f(y)| \leq C e^{\beta y^2}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}$$

with $0 \leq \beta < \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4\sqrt{t}}$, where the notation ucplim denotes the uniform convergence in probability on each compact interval. This is very similar to Brownian motion, but the process $W = \{W_x = u(\cdot, x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is not a semimartingale. In Section 5 we consider some questions associated with the local time

$$\mathcal{L}^t(x, a) = \int_0^x \delta(W_y - a) dy$$

of the process $W = \{W_x = u(\cdot, x), x \geq 0\}$. In particular, we show that the Bouleau-Yor type identity

$$[f(W), W]_x^{(SQ)} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(v) \mathcal{L}^t(x, dv)$$

holds for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$. In Section 6 we consider some analysis questions associated with the quadratic covariation of the process $B = \{B_t = u(t, \cdot), t \geq 0\}$.

2. SOME BASIC ESTIMATES AND DIVERGENCE INTEGRALS

In this section we will establish divergence integral and some technical estimates associated with the solution

$$u(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t-r, x-y) X(dr, dy), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $p(t, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t}}$ is the heat kernel. For simplicity throughout this paper we let C stand for a positive constant depending only on the subscripts and its value may be different in different appearance, and this assumption is also adaptable to c . Moreover, we assume that the notation $F \asymp G$ means that there are positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$c_1 G(x) \leq F(x) \leq c_2 G(x)$$

in the common domain of definition for F and G .

The first object in this section is to introduce some basic estimates for the solution process $\{u(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{x,y}(s, t) &:= Eu(t, x)u(s, y) = \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t-r, x-z)p(s-r, y-z) dz dr \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t-r)(s-r)}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x-z)^2}{2(t-r)} - \frac{(y-z)^2}{2(s-r)} \right\} dz dr \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^s \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+s-2r}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x-y)^2}{2(t+s-2r)} \right\} dr \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq s > 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote

$$\Delta(s, t, u) = \int_0^s \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(t-r)}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{t+s-2r}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2}{2(t+s-2r)} \right\} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(s-r)}} \right) dr$$

for $t \geq s$. Then, we have

$$(2.1) \quad E[(u(t, x) - u(s, y))^2] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\sqrt{2(t-s)} + \Delta(s, t, x-y) \right)$$

for all $t > s > 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 2.1. *For all $t \geq s > 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$(2.2) \quad E[(u(t, x) - u(s, y))^2] \leq C(\sqrt{t-s} + |x-y|).$$

Proof. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^s \frac{2}{\sqrt{t+s-2r}} \left(1 - \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2}{2(t+s-2r)} \right\} \right) dr \\ = |u| \int_{\frac{|u|}{\sqrt{t+s}}}^{\frac{|u|}{\sqrt{t-s}}} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} \right) \frac{dr}{r^2} \leq |u| \int_0^{+\infty} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} \right) \frac{dr}{r^2} = |u| \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq s > 0$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(s, t, u) &= \int_0^s \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(t-r)}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{t+s-2r}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(s-r)}} \right) dr \\ &\quad + \int_0^s \frac{2}{\sqrt{t+s-2r}} \left(1 - \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2}{2(t+s-2r)} \right\} \right) dr \\ &= \sqrt{2t} + \sqrt{2s} + (2 - \sqrt{2})\sqrt{t-s} - 2\sqrt{t+s} \\ &\quad + \int_0^s \frac{2}{\sqrt{t+s-2r}} \left(1 - \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2}{2(t+s-2r)} \right\} \right) dr \\ &\leq C \left((3 - \sqrt{2})\sqrt{t-s} + |u| \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq s > 0, u \in \mathbb{R}$ by the next estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sqrt{2t} + \sqrt{2s} + 2\sqrt{t-s} - 2\sqrt{t+s} \\ &= 2\sqrt{t-s} + \left(\sqrt{2t} - \sqrt{t+s} \right) + \sqrt{2s} - \sqrt{t+s} \leq 3\sqrt{t-s}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.1) that

$$(2.3) \quad E[(u(t, x) - u(s, y))^2] \leq C (\sqrt{t-s} + |x - y|)$$

for all $t \geq s > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. For all $t, s, r > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$|E[u(r, x)(u(t, x) - u(s, x))]| \leq C\sqrt{|t-s|}.$$

Proof. For all $t, s, r > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[u(r, x)(u(t, x) - u(s, x))] &= Eu(r, x)u(t, x) - Eu(r, x)u(s, x) \\ &= R_{x,x}(r, t) - R_{x,x}(r, s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\sqrt{t+r} - \sqrt{|t-r|} - \sqrt{s+r} + \sqrt{|s-r|} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} |E[u(r, x)(u(t, x) - u(s, x))]| \\ \leq \left| \sqrt{t+r} - \sqrt{s+r} \right| + \left| \sqrt{|t-r|} - \sqrt{|s-r|} \right| \leq 3\sqrt{|t-s|} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t, s, r > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. For all $t > 0$ and $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$|E[u(t, x)(u(t, y) - u(t, z))]| \leq C|y - z|.$$

Proof. For all $t > 0$ and $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[u(t, x)(u(t, y) - u(t, z))] &= Eu(t, x)u(t, y) - Eu(t, x)u(t, z) \\ &= R_{x, y}(t, t) - R_{x, z}(t, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-r}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x-y)^2}{4(t-r)} \right\} dr - \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-r}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x-z)^2}{4(t-r)} \right\} dr \right) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \left(|x-y| \int_{|x-y|}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s^2} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} ds - |x-z| \int_{|x-z|}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s^2} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by

$$f(x) = x \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s^2} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} ds = e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t}} - \frac{x}{2t} \int_x^\infty e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}} dr$$

Then, by Mean value theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(u) - f(v)| &= \frac{1}{2t} |u - v| \int_\xi^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2t} |u - v| \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} ds \leq \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{t}} |u - v| \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v \geq 0$ and some ξ between u and v . It follows that

$$|E[u(t, x)(u(t, y) - u(t, z))]| \leq \frac{1}{4} ||x - y| - |x - z|| \leq \frac{1}{4} |y - z|$$

for all $t > 0$ and $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$. □

Lemma 2.4. For all $t > s > t' > s' > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$(2.4) \quad |E[(u(t, x) - u(s, x))(u(t', x) - u(s', x))]| \leq \frac{C(t' - s')\sqrt{t - s}}{\sqrt{ts(s - s')(t - t')}}$$

Proof. For all $t > s > t' > s' > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[(u(t, x) - u(s, x))(u(t', x) - u(s', x))] &= R_{x, x}(t, t') - R_{x, x}(s, t') - R_{x, x}(t, s') + R_{x, x}(s, s') \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\sqrt{t+t'} - \sqrt{t-t'} - \sqrt{s+t'} + \sqrt{s-t'} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sqrt{t+s'} + \sqrt{t-s'} + \sqrt{s+s'} - \sqrt{s-s'} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the function

$$f(x) = \sqrt{t+x} - \sqrt{t-x} - \sqrt{s+x} + \sqrt{s-x}$$

with $x \in [0, s]$. Then, we have

$$E[(u(t, x) - u(s, x))(u(t', x) - u(s', x))] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (f(t') - f(s')),$$

and by Mean value theorem

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t') - f(s')| &= \frac{1}{2} (t' - s') \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+\xi}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-\xi}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{s+\xi}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{s-\xi}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (t' - s') \left(\frac{\sqrt{t+\xi} - \sqrt{s+\xi}}{\sqrt{t+\xi}\sqrt{s+\xi}} + \frac{\sqrt{t-\xi} - \sqrt{s-\xi}}{\sqrt{s-\xi}\sqrt{t-\xi}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C(t' - s')\sqrt{t-s}}{\sqrt{ts(s-s')(t-t')}} \end{aligned}$$

for some $s' \leq \xi \leq t'$, which shows the lemma. □

Lemma 2.5. *For all $t > 0$ and $x > y > x' > y'$ we have*

$$(2.5) \quad |E[(u(t, x) - u(t, y))(u(t, x') - u(t, y'))]| \leq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{t\pi}}(x - y)(x' - y')e^{-\frac{(y-x')^2}{4t}}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & E[(u(t, x) - u(t, y))(u(t, x') - u(t, y'))] \\ &= R_{x,x'}(t, t) - R_{x,y'}(t, t) - R_{y,x'}(t, t) + R_{y,y'}(t, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x-x')^2}{4r}\right\} dr - \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x-y')^2}{4r}\right\} dr \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(y-x')^2}{4r}\right\} dr + \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(y-y')^2}{4r}\right\} dr \right) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \left((x-x') \int_{(x-x')}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s^2} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} ds - (x-y') \int_{(x-y')}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s^2} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (y-x') \int_{(y-x')}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s^2} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} ds + (y-y') \int_{(y-y')}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s^2} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t > 0$ and $x > y > x' > y'$. Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.3 we define the function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$f(x) = x \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s^2} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} ds.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |E[(u(t, x) - u(t, y))(u(t, x') - u(t, y'))]| \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} |f(x-x') - f(x-y') - f(y-x') + f(y-y')| \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} (x-y) |f'(\xi-x') - f'(\xi-y')| \\ &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{t}\sqrt{\pi}} (x-y) \left| \int_{\xi-x'}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} ds - \int_{\xi-y'}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} ds \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} (x-y) \int_{\xi-x'}^{\xi-y'} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{t\pi}} (x-y)(x'-y') e^{-\frac{(\xi-x')^2}{4t}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{t\pi}} (x-y)(x'-y') e^{-\frac{(y-x')^2}{4t}} \end{aligned}$$

for some $\xi \in [y, x]$ by Mean Value Theorem. This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *For all $t > s > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ denote $\sigma_{t,x}^2 = E[u(t, x)^2]$, $\sigma_{s,x}^2 = E[u(s, x)^2]$, $\mu_{t,s,x} = E[u(t, x)u(s, x)]$. Then we have*

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{s(t-s)} \leq \sigma_{t,x}^2 \sigma_{s,x}^2 - \mu_{t,s,x}^2 \leq \frac{3}{\pi} \sqrt{s(t-s)}.$$

Proof. Given $t > s > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{t,x}^2 \sigma_{s,x}^2 - \mu_{t,s,x}^2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(2\sqrt{ts} - \left((t+s)^{1/2} - (t-s)^{1/2} \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\sqrt{ts} - t + \sqrt{t^2 - s^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{t}{\pi} \left(\sqrt{z} + \sqrt{1-z^2} - 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

with $z = \frac{s}{t}$. Clearly,

$$\sqrt{z} + \sqrt{1-z^2} - 1 = \sqrt{z} - \left(1 - \sqrt{1-z^2}\right) \geq \sqrt{z} - \sqrt{z^2} \geq \sqrt{z(1-z)}$$

for all $0 \leq z \leq 1$. Conversely, we have also that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \sqrt{z} + \sqrt{1-z} - 1 &= \sqrt{z(1-z)} + \sqrt{z} + \left(\sqrt{1-z} - \sqrt{z(1-z)}\right) - 1 \\ &= \sqrt{z(1-z)} + \sqrt{z} + \sqrt{1-z}(1 - \sqrt{z}) - 1 \\ &\leq \sqrt{z(1-z)} + (\sqrt{z} - z) \leq 2\sqrt{z(1-z)}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{z} + \sqrt{1-z^2} - 1 &= \sqrt{z} + \sqrt{1-z+z-z^2} - 1 \\ &\leq \sqrt{z} + \sqrt{1-z} - 1 + \sqrt{z(1-z)} \leq 3\sqrt{z(1-z)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 2.7. *For all $t > 0$ and $x > y$ denote $\mu_{t,x,y} = E[u(t,x)u(t,y)]$. Then, under the conditions of Lemma 2.6 we have*

$$(2.7) \quad \sigma_{t,x}^2 \sigma_{t,y}^2 - \mu_{t,x,y}^2 \asymp \frac{(x-y)t}{\sqrt{t} + x - y}.$$

In particular, we have

$$(2.8) \quad 0 \leq \sigma_{t,z}^2 - \mu_{t,x,y} \asymp \frac{(x-y)\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{t} + x - y}$$

for all $t > 0$ and $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Given $t > 0$ and $x > y$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{t,x}^2 \sigma_{t,y}^2 - \mu_{t,x,y}^2 &= \frac{t}{\pi} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x-y)^2}{4r} \right\} dr \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(4t - \left(\int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x-y)^2}{4r} \right\} dr \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^t \frac{dr}{\sqrt{r}} \left(1 - \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x-y)^2}{4r} \right\} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \int_0^t \frac{dr}{\sqrt{r}} \left(1 + \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x-y)^2}{4r} \right\} \right) \\ &\asymp \sqrt{t} \int_0^t \frac{dr}{\sqrt{r}} \left(1 - \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x-y)^2}{4r} \right\} \right) \\ &= 2\sqrt{t}(x-y) \int_{\frac{x-y}{\sqrt{t}}}^{\infty} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{s^2}{4}} \right) \frac{ds}{s^2}. \end{aligned}$$

As in Lemma 2.3, we define the function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$f(z) = z \int_z^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s^2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{s^2}{4}} \right) ds.$$

Then f is continuous in $\mathbb{R}_+$ and

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z)}{z} = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s^2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{s^2}{4}} \right) ds = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4}} ds = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}$$

and

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(z)}{\frac{z}{1+z}} = 1,$$

which show that the functions $z \mapsto \frac{f(z)}{1+z}$ and $z \mapsto \frac{z}{f(z)}$ are bounded in $[0, \infty]$, i.e., there is

$$\frac{x-y}{\sqrt{t}} \int_{\frac{x-y}{\sqrt{t}}}^{\infty} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{s^2}{4}}\right) \frac{ds}{s^2} \asymp \frac{\frac{x-y}{\sqrt{t}}}{1 + \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{t}}} = \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{t} + x-y}.$$

This shows that

$$\sigma_{t,x}^2 \sigma_{t,y}^2 - \mu_{t,x,y}^2 \asymp \frac{(x-y)t}{\sqrt{t} + x-y},$$

and the lemma follows. $\square$

The second object in this section is to discuss Skorohod integrals associated with the solution process $u = \{u(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$. From the above discussion we have known that the processes $B = \{B_t = u(t, \cdot), t \geq 0\}$ and $W = \{W_x = u(\cdot, x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ are neither semimartingales nor a Markov processes, so many of the powerful techniques from stochastic analysis are not available when dealing with the three processes. However, as a Gaussian process, one can develop the stochastic calculus of variations with respect to them. We refer to Alós *et al* [1], Nualart [22] and the references therein for more details of stochastic calculus of Gaussian process.

Let $\mathcal{E}_t$ and $\mathcal{E}_x$ be respectively, the sets of linear combinations of elementary functions $\{1_{I_x}, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\{1_{[0,t]}, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$. Assume that $\mathcal{H}_t$ and $\mathcal{H}_*$ are the Hilbert spaces defined as the closure of $\mathcal{E}_t$ and $\mathcal{E}_x$ respect to the inner products

$$\langle 1_{I_x}, 1_{I_y} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_t} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4s}\right\} ds = \frac{|x-y|}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\frac{|x-y|}{\sqrt{t}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^2} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4}} ds$$

and

$$\langle 1_{[0,t]}, 1_{[0,s]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_*} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left((t+s)^{1/2} - |t-s|^{1/2} \right),$$

respectively. These maps $1_{I_x} \mapsto W_x$ and $1_{[0,t]} \mapsto B_t$ are two isometries between $\mathcal{E}_t$, $\mathcal{E}_x$ and the Gaussian spaces $W(\varphi)$, $B(\varphi)$ of $\{W_x, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\{B_t, t \geq 0\}$, respectively, which can be extended to $\mathcal{H}_t$ and $\mathcal{H}_*$, respectively. We denote these extensions by

$$\varphi \mapsto W(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(y) dW_y$$

and

$$\psi \mapsto B(\psi) = \int_0^T \psi(s) dB_s,$$

respectively.

Denote by $\mathcal{S}_t$ and $\mathcal{S}_*$ the sets of smooth functionals of the form

$$F_t = f(W(\varphi_1), W(\varphi_2), \dots, W(\varphi_n))$$

and

$$F_* = f(B(\psi_1), B(\psi_2), \dots, B(\psi_n)),$$

where $f \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (f and all their derivatives are bounded), $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{H}_t$ and $\psi_i \in \mathcal{H}_*$. The *derivative operators* D^t and D^* (the Malliavin derivatives) of functionals F_t and F_* of the above forms are defined as

$$D^t F_t = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(W(\varphi_1), W(\varphi_2), \dots, W(\varphi_n)) \varphi_j$$

and

$$D^* F_* = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(B(\psi_1), B(\psi_2), \dots, B(\psi_n)) \psi_j,$$

respectively. These derivative operators D^t, D^* are then closable from $L^2(\Omega)$ into $L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{H}_t)$ and $L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{H}_*)$, respectively. We denote by $\mathbb{D}^{t,1,2}$ and $\mathbb{D}^{*,1,2}$ the closures of $\mathcal{S}_t, \mathcal{S}_*$ and $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to the norm

$$\|F_t\|_{t,1,2} := \sqrt{E|F|^2 + E\|D^t F_t\|_{\mathcal{H}_t}^2}$$

and

$$\|F_*\|_{*,1,2} := \sqrt{E|F|^2 + E\|D^* F_*\|_{\mathcal{H}_*}^2},$$

respectively. The *divergence integrals* δ^t and δ^* are the adjoint of derivative operators D^t and D^* , respectively. That are, we say that random variables $v \in L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{H}_t)$ $w \in L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{H}_*)$ belong to the domains of the divergence operators δ^t and δ^* , respectively, denoted by $\text{Dom}(\delta^t)$ and $\text{Dom}(\delta^*)$ if

$$E|\langle D^t F_t, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_t}| \leq c\|F_t\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, E|\langle D^* F_*, w \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_*}| \leq c\|F_*\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

respectively, for all $F_t \in \mathcal{S}_t$ and $F_* \in \mathcal{S}_t$. In these cases $\delta^t(v)$ and $\delta^*(w)$ are defined by the duality relationships

$$(2.9) \quad E[F_t \delta^t(v)] = E\langle D^t F_t, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_t},$$

$$(2.10) \quad E[F_* \delta^*(w)] = E\langle D^* F_*, w \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_*},$$

respectively, for any $v \in \mathbb{D}^{t,1,2}$ and $w \in \mathbb{D}^{*,1,2}$. We have $\mathbb{D}^{t,1,2} \subset \text{Dom}(\delta^t)$ and $\mathbb{D}^{*,1,2} \subset \text{Dom}(\delta^*)$. We will use the notations

$$\delta^t(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_y \delta W_y, \quad \delta^*(w) = \int_0^T w_s \delta B_s$$

to express the Skorohod integrals, and the indefinite Skorohod integrals is defined as

$$\int_{I_x} v_y \delta W_y = \delta^t(v 1_{I_x}), \quad \int_0^t w_s \delta B_s = \delta^*(w 1_{[0,t]}),$$

respectively. Denote

$$\tilde{D} \in \{D^t, D^*\}, \quad \tilde{\delta} \in \{\delta^t, \delta^*\}, \quad \tilde{\mathbb{D}}^{1,2} \in \{\mathbb{D}^{t,1,2}, \mathbb{D}^{*,1,2}\}.$$

We can localize the domains of the operators $\tilde{D}$ and $\tilde{\delta}$. If $\mathbb{L}$ is a class of random variables (or processes) we denote by $\mathbb{L}_{\text{loc}}$ the set of random variables F such that there exists a sequence $\{(\Omega_n, F^n), n \geq 1\} \subset \mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{L}$ with the following properties:

- (i) $\Omega_n \uparrow \Omega$, a.s.
- (ii) $F = F^n$ a.s. on Ω_n .

If $F \in \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}$, and (Ω_n, F^n) localizes F in $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, then $\tilde{D}F$ is defined without ambiguity by $\tilde{D}F = \tilde{D}F^n$ on Ω_n , $n \geq 1$. Then, if $v \in \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}$, the divergence $\tilde{\delta}(v)$ is defined as a random variable determined by the conditions

$$\tilde{\delta}(v)|_{\Omega_n} = \tilde{\delta}(v^n)|_{\Omega_n} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1,$$

where (Ω_n, v^n) is a localizing sequence for v , but it may depend on the localizing sequence.

3. THE QUADRATIC COVARIATION OF PROCESS $\{u(\cdot, x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}$

In this section, we study the existence of the PQC $[f(u(t, \cdot)), u(t, \cdot)]^{(SQ)}$. Recall that

$$I_\varepsilon^2(f, x, t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{I_x} \{f(u(s, y + \varepsilon)) - f(u(s, y))\} (u(s, y + \varepsilon) - u(s, y)) dy$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$(3.1) \quad [f(u(t, \cdot)), u(t, \cdot)]_x^{(SQ)} = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} I_\varepsilon^2(f, x, t),$$

provided the limit exists in probability. In this section we fix a time parameter $t > 0$ and recall that

$$W = \{W_x = u(\cdot, x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Recall that the local Hölder index γ_0 of a continuous paths process $\{X_t : t \geq 0\}$ is the supremum of the exponents γ verifying, for any $T > 0$:

$$P(\{\omega : \exists L(\omega) > 0, \forall s, t \in [0, T], |X_t(\omega) - X_s(\omega)| \leq L(\omega)|t - s|^\gamma\}) = 1.$$

Recently, Gradinaru-Nourdin [12] introduced the following very useful result:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying*

$$(3.2) \quad |g(x) - g(y)| \leq C|x - y|^a(1 + x^2 + y^2)^b, \quad (C > 0, 0 < a \leq 1, b > 0),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and let X be a locally Hölder continuous paths process with index $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Assume that V is a bounded variation continuous paths process. Set

$$X_\varepsilon^g(t) = \int_0^t g\left(\frac{X_{s+\varepsilon} - X_s}{\varepsilon^\gamma}\right) ds$$

for $t \geq 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$. If for each $t \geq 0$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$(3.3) \quad \|X_\varepsilon^g(t) - V_t\|_{L^2}^2 = O(\varepsilon^\alpha)$$

with $\alpha > 0$, then, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} X_\varepsilon^g(t) = V_t$ almost surely, for any $t \geq 0$, and if g is non-negative, for any continuous stochastic process $\{Y_t : t \geq 0\}$,

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t Y_s g\left(\frac{X_{s+\varepsilon} - X_s}{\varepsilon^\gamma}\right) ds \longrightarrow \int_0^t Y_s dV_s,$$

almost surely, uniformly in t on each compact interval.

According to the lemma above we get the next proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$. We have*

$$(3.5) \quad [f(W), W]_x^{(SQ)} = \int_{I_x} f'(W_y) dy$$

and in particular, we have

$$[W, W]_x^{(SQ)} = |x|$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. In fact, the Hölder continuity of the process $W = \{W_x = u(\cdot, x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ yields

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{I_x} o((W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y))(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)^2 dy = 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, almost surely. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{I_x} \{f(W_{y+\varepsilon}) - f(W_y)\} (W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y) dy \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{I_x} f'(W_y) (W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)^2 dy \end{aligned}$$

almost surely. Thus, to end the proof we need to prove the next convergence

$$[W, W]_x^{(SQ)} = |x|$$

almost surely. That is, for each $t \geq 0$

$$(3.6) \quad \|W^\varepsilon(x) - |x|\|_{L^2}^2 = O(\varepsilon^\alpha)$$

with some $\alpha > 0$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, by the above lemma, where

$$W^\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{I_x} (W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)^2 dy.$$

We have

$$E |W^\varepsilon(x) - x|^2 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} B_\varepsilon(y, z) dy dz$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} B_\varepsilon(y, z) &:= E \left((W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)^2 - \varepsilon \right) \left((W_{z+\varepsilon} - W_z)^2 - \varepsilon \right) \\ &= E(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)^2 (W_{z+\varepsilon} - W_z)^2 + \varepsilon^2 \\ &\quad - \varepsilon E \left((W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)^2 + (W_{z+\varepsilon} - W_z)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} E[(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)^2] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-r}} \left(1 - \exp \left\{ -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{4(t-r)} \right\} \right) dr \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{4r}} \right) dr \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \varepsilon \int_{\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2t}}}^\infty \frac{1}{s^2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \right) ds \equiv \phi_{t,y}(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\phi_{t,y}(\varepsilon) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \varepsilon \int_{\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2t}}}^\infty \frac{1}{s^2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \right) ds - \varepsilon.$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} E[(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)^2 (W_{z+\varepsilon} - W_z)^2] &= E[(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)^2] E[(W_{z+\varepsilon} - W_z)^2] \\ &\quad + 2(E[(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)(W_{z+\varepsilon} - W_z)])^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $y, z \in I_x$, we get

$$B_\varepsilon(y, z) = \phi_{t,y}(\varepsilon) \phi_{t,z}(\varepsilon) + 2(\mu_{y,z})^2$$

where $\mu_{y,z} := E[(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)(W_{z+\varepsilon} - W_z)]$.

Now, let us estimate the above function $\varepsilon \mapsto \phi_{t,y}(\varepsilon)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{t,y}(\varepsilon) &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \varepsilon \left(\int_{\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2t}}}^\infty \frac{1}{s^2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \right) ds - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \right) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \varepsilon \left(\int_{\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2t}}}^\infty \frac{1}{s^2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \right) ds - \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{s^2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \right) ds \right) \\ &= -\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2t}}} \frac{1}{s^2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \right) ds \sim \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t\pi}} \varepsilon^2 \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0) \end{aligned}$$

by the fact

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{s^2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \right) ds = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds = \frac{1}{2},$$

which gives

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} |\phi_{t,y}(\varepsilon) \phi_{t,z}(\varepsilon)| dy dz \sim \frac{1}{4t\pi} \varepsilon^2 x^2 \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.4 that there is a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1+\alpha}} \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} B_\varepsilon(y, z) dy dz = 0$$

for all $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, which gives the desired estimate

$$\|W^\varepsilon(x) - x\|_{L^2}^2 = O(\varepsilon^\alpha) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and some $\alpha > 0$.

Notice that $g(y) = y^2$ satisfies the condition (3.2). We obtain the proposition by taking $Y_y = f'(W_y)$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}$. $\square$

Now, we discuss the existence of the PQC $[f(W), W]^{(SQ)}$. Consider the decomposition

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon^1(f, x, t) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{I_x} f(W_{y+\varepsilon})(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y) dy \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{I_x} f(W_y)(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y) dy \\ &\equiv I_\varepsilon^{1,+}(f, x, t) - I_\varepsilon^{1,-}(f, x, t) \end{aligned}$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$, and define the set

$$\mathcal{H}_t = \{f : \text{Borel functions on } \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_t} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_t}^2 := \frac{|x|}{\sqrt[4]{4\pi t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(z)|^2 \left(\sqrt{t} + z^2\right) e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\pi} z^2}{2\sqrt{t}}} dz.$$

Then $\mathcal{H}_t = L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu(dz))$ with

$$\mu(dz) = \left(\frac{|x|}{\sqrt[4]{4\pi t}} \left(\sqrt{t} + z^2\right) e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\pi} z^2}{2\sqrt{t}}}\right) dz$$

and $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = C|x| < \infty$, which implies that the set $\mathcal{E}$ of elementary functions of the form

$$f_\Delta(z) = \sum_i f_i 1_{(x_{i-1}, x_i]}(z)$$

is dense in $\mathcal{H}_t$, where $f_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\{x_i, 0 \leq i \leq l\}$ is a finite sequence of real numbers such that $x_i < x_{i+1}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}_t$ includes all Borel functions f satisfying the condition

$$(3.8) \quad |f(z)| \leq C e^{\beta z^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}$$

with $0 \leq \beta < \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4\sqrt{t}}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_t$. Then, the PQC $[f(W), W]^{(SQ)}$ exists in $L^2(\Omega)$ and*

$$(3.9) \quad E \left| [f(W), W]_x^{(SQ)} \right|^2 \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_t}^2$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

In order to prove the theorem we claim that the following two statements with $f \in \mathcal{H}_t$:

(1) for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $I_\varepsilon^{1,\pm}(f, x, \cdot) \in L^2(\Omega)$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} E \left| I_\varepsilon^{1,-}(f, x, \cdot) \right|^2 &\leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_t}^2, \\ E \left| I_\varepsilon^{1,+}(f, x, \cdot) \right|^2 &\leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_t}^2. \end{aligned}$$

(2) $I_\varepsilon^{1,-}(f, x, t)$ and $I_\varepsilon^{1,+}(f, x, t)$ are two Cauchy's sequences in $L^2(\Omega)$ for all $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. That is,

$$E \left| I_{\varepsilon_1}^{1,-}(f, x, t) - I_{\varepsilon_2}^{1,-}(f, x, t) \right|^2 \longrightarrow 0,$$

and

$$E \left| I_{\varepsilon_1}^{1,+}(f, x, t) - I_{\varepsilon_2}^{1,+}(f, x, t) \right|^2 \longrightarrow 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, as $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \downarrow 0$.

We split the proof of two statements into two parts.

Proof of the statement (1). Recall that $W_x := u(\cdot, x)$. We have

$$E|I_\varepsilon^{1,-}(f, x, \cdot)|^2 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} dy dy' E [f(W_y) f(W_{y'}) (W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y) (W_{y'+\varepsilon} - W_{y'})]$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, let us estimate the expression

$$\Phi_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(y, y') := E [f(W_y) f(W_{y'}) (W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y) (W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'})]$$

for all $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$ and $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}$. To estimate the above expression, it is enough to assume that $f \in \mathcal{E}$ by denseness, and moreover, by approximating we can assume that f is an infinitely differentiable function with compact support. It follows from the duality relationship (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(y, y') &= E \left[f(W_y) f(W_{y'}) (W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y) \int_{y'}^{y'+\varepsilon_2} \delta W(l) \right] \\ &= E [W_y (W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'})] E [f'(W_y) f(W_{y'}) (W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y)] \\ &\quad + E [W_{y'} (W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'})] E [f(W_y) f'(W_{y'}) (W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y)] \\ &\quad + E [(W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y) (W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'})] E [f(W_y) f(W_{y'})] \\ &= E [W_y (W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'})] E [W_y (W_{y+\varepsilon_2} - W_y)] E [f''(W_y) f(W_{y'})] \\ &\quad + E [W_y (W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'})] E [W_{y'} (W_{y+\varepsilon_2} - W_y)] E [f'(W_y) f'(W_{y'})] \\ &\quad + E [W_{y'} (W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'})] E [W_y (W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y)] E [f'(W_y) f'(W_{y'})] \\ &\quad + E [W_{y'} (W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'})] E [W_{y'} (W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y)] E [f(W_y) f''(W_{y'})] \\ &\quad + E [(W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y) (W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'})] E [f(W_y) f(W_{y'})] \\ &\equiv \sum_{j=1}^5 \Psi_j(y, y', \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

for all $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$. In order to end the proof we claim to estimate

$$\Lambda_j := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} \Psi_j(y, y', \varepsilon, \varepsilon) dy dy', \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough.

For $j = 5$, from the fact

$$|E [(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y) (W_{y'+\varepsilon} - W_{y'})]| \leq \varepsilon$$

for $0 < |y - y'| \leq \varepsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\substack{|y-y'| \leq \varepsilon \\ y, y' \in I_x}} |\Psi_5(y, y', \varepsilon, \varepsilon)| dy dy' &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\substack{|y-y'| \leq \varepsilon \\ y, y' \in I_x}} E |f(W_y) f(W_{y'})| dy dy' \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\substack{|y-y'| \leq \varepsilon \\ y, y' \in I_x}} E [f(W_y)^2 + f(W_{y'})^2] dy dy' \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\substack{|y-y'| \leq \varepsilon \\ y, y' \in I_x}} E |f(W_y)|^2 dy dy' \\ &\leq \int_{I_x} E |f(W_y)|^2 dy = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_t}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, for $|y - y'| > \varepsilon$ we have

$$|E [(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y) (W_{y'+\varepsilon} - W_{y'})]| \leq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{t\pi}} \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y-y'-\varepsilon|^2}{4t}}$$

by (2.5), which deduces

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\substack{|y-y'| > \varepsilon \\ y, y' \in I_x}} |\Psi_5(y, y', \varepsilon, \varepsilon)| dy dy' \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{t\pi}} \int_{\substack{|y-y'| > \varepsilon \\ y, y' \in I_x}} E |f(W_y) f(W_{y'})| e^{-\frac{|y-y'-\varepsilon|^2}{4t}} dy dy' \\
& \leq \frac{1}{8\sqrt{t\pi}} \int_{\substack{|y-y'| > \varepsilon \\ y, y' \in I_x}} E [f(W_y)^2 + f(W_{y'})^2] e^{-\frac{|y-y'-\varepsilon|^2}{4t}} dy dy' \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{t\pi}} \int_{\substack{|y-y'| > \varepsilon \\ y, y' \in I_x}} E |f(W_y)|^2 e^{-\frac{|y-y'-\varepsilon|^2}{4t}} dy dy' \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_x} E |f(W_y)|^2 dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(2t)}} e^{-\frac{|y-y'-\varepsilon|^2}{4t}} dy' \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_x} E |f(W_y)|^2 dy = \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_t}^2
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. This shows that

$$\Lambda_5 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left| \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} \Psi_5(y, y', \varepsilon, \varepsilon) dy dy' \right| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_t}^2$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Next, let us estimate $\sum_{j=1}^4 \Lambda_j$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
E [f''(W_y) f(W_{y'})] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) f(x') \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \varphi(x, x') dx dx' \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) f(x') \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho^4} (\sigma_{t,y'}^2 x - \mu_{t,y,y'} x')^2 - \frac{\sigma_{t,y'}^2}{\rho^2} \right\} \varphi(x, x') dx dx'
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
E [f'(W_y) f'(W_{y'})] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) f(x') \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial x'} \varphi(x, x') dx dx' \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) f(x') \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho^4} (\sigma_{t,y}^2 x' - \mu_{t,y,y'} x) (\sigma_{t,y'}^2 x - \mu_{t,y,y'} x') + \frac{\mu_{t,y,y'}}{\rho^2} \right\} \varphi(x, x') dx dx',
\end{aligned}$$

where $\rho^2 = \sigma_{t,y}^2 \sigma_{t,y'}^2 - \mu_{t,y,y'}^2$ and $\varphi(x, y)$ is the density function of $(W_y, W_{y'})$. That is

$$\varphi(x, x') = \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\rho^2} \left(\sigma_{t,y'}^2 x^2 - 2\mu_{t,y,y'} x x' + \sigma_{t,y}^2 x'^2 \right) \right\}.$$

Combining this with the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\sigma_{t,y}^2 x' - \mu_{t,y,y'} x) (\sigma_{t,y'}^2 x - \mu_{t,y,y'} x') \\
& = \rho^2 x' \left(x - \frac{\mu_{t,y,y'}}{\sigma_{t,y'}^2} x' \right) - \mu_{t,y,y'} \sigma_{t,y'}^2 \left(x - \frac{\mu_{t,y,y'}}{\sigma_{t,y'}^2} x' \right)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& E[f''(W_y)f(W_{y'})] + E[f'(W_y)f'(W_{y'})] \\
&= \frac{\mu_{t,y,y'} - \sigma_{t,y'}^2}{\rho^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x)f(x')\varphi(x,x')dxdx' \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x)f(x')x' \left(x - \frac{\mu_{t,y,y'}}{\sigma_{t,y'}^2} x' \right) \varphi(x,x')dxdx' \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma_{t,y'}^2}{\rho^4} (\sigma_{t,y'}^2 - \mu_{t,y,y'}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x)f(x') \left(x - \frac{\mu_{t,y,y'}}{\sigma_{t,y'}^2} x' \right)^2 \varphi(x,x')dxdx' \\
&\equiv \Upsilon_1 + \Upsilon_2 + \Upsilon_3.
\end{aligned}$$

A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x')|^2 \left(x - \frac{\mu_{t,y,y'}}{\sigma_{t,y'}^2} x' \right)^{2m} \varphi(x,x')dxdx' \\
&= C_m \left(\frac{\rho^2}{\sigma_{t,y'}^2} \right)^m \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x')|^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{t,y'}} e^{-\frac{x'^2}{2\sigma_{t,y'}^2}} dx' \\
&\leq C_m \left(\frac{\rho^2}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^m \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{t}} e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\pi}x^2}{2\sqrt{t}}} dx
\end{aligned}$$

for all $m \geq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x)x'|^2 \varphi(x,x')dxdx' \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{t,y}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_{t,y}^2}} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x'|^2 \frac{\sigma_{t,y}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\rho} e^{-\frac{\sigma_{t,y}^2}{2\rho^2} \left(x' - \frac{\mu_{t,y,y'}}{\sigma_{t,y}^2} x \right)^2} dx' \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{t,y}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_{t,y}^2}} dx \left(\frac{\rho^2}{\sigma_{t,y}^2} + \frac{\mu_{t,y,y'}^2}{\sigma_{t,y}^4} x^2 \right) \\
&\leq C \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\pi t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\pi}x^2}{2\sqrt{t}}} \left(\sqrt{t} + x^2 \right) dx
\end{aligned}$$

since $\sigma_{t,y}^2 = \sigma_{t,y'}^2 = \sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Upsilon_1| &\leq \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x)x'|^2 \varphi(x,x')dxdx' \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x')|^2 \left| x - \frac{\mu_{t,y,y'}}{\sigma_{t,y'}^2} x' \right|^2 \varphi(x,x')dxdx' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \frac{1}{\rho^4 \sqrt{t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{t}} e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\pi}x^2}{2\sqrt{t}}} \left(\sqrt{t} + x^2 \right) dx
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Upsilon_3| &\leq \frac{\sigma_{t,y'}^2}{\rho^4} |\sigma_{t,y'}^2 - \mu_{t,y,y'}| \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x)|^2 \varphi(x,x')dxdx' \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x')|^2 \left(x - \frac{\mu_{t,y,y'}}{\sigma_{t,y'}^2} x' \right)^4 \varphi(x,x')dxdx' \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \frac{C |\sigma_{t,y'}^2 - \mu_{t,y,y'}|}{\rho^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{t}} e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\pi}x^2}{2\sqrt{t}}} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get the estimate

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} |E[f''(W_y)f(W_{y'})] + E[f'(W_y)f'(W_{y'})]| &\leq |\Upsilon_1| + |\Upsilon_2| + |\Upsilon_3| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{t}} e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\pi}x^2}{2\sqrt{t}}} (\sqrt{t} + x^2) dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.12) \quad |E[f''(W_y)f(W_{y'})]| \leq \frac{C}{|y - y'|} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{t}} e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\pi}x^2}{2\sqrt{t}}} dx$$

by Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.3. Now, we can estimate $\sum_{j=1}^4 \Lambda_j$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^4 \Psi_j(y, y', \varepsilon, \varepsilon) &= E[W_y(W_{y'+\varepsilon} - W_{y'})] E[(W_y - W_{y'})(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)] E[f''(W_y)f(W_{y'})] \\ &\quad + E[W_y(W_{y'+\varepsilon} - W_{y'})] E[W_{y'}(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)] \\ &\quad \cdot (E[f'(W_y)f'(W_{y'})] + E[f''(W_y)f(W_{y'})]) \\ &\quad + E[W_{y'}(W_{y'+\varepsilon} - W_{y'})] E[W_y(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)] \\ &\quad \cdot (E[f'(W_y)f'(W_{y'})] + E[f(W_y)f''(W_{y'})]) \\ &\quad + E[W_{y'}(W_{y'+\varepsilon} - W_{y'})] E[(W_{y'} - W_y)(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)] E[f(W_y)f''(W_{y'})]. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.11), (3.12), Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^4 \Lambda_j \right| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} \left| \sum_{j=1}^4 \Psi_j(y, y', \varepsilon, \varepsilon) \right| dy dy' \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_t}^2$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. This shows that

$$E |I_{\varepsilon}^{1,-}(f, x, \cdot)|^2 \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_t}^2.$$

Similarly, one can show that the estimate

$$E |I_{\varepsilon}^{1,+}(f, x, \cdot)|^2 \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_t}^2,$$

and the first statement follows. $\square$

Proof of the statement (2). Without loss of generality we assume that $\varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2$. We prove only the first convergence and similarly one can prove the second convergence. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &E |I_{\varepsilon_1}^{1,-}(f, x, t) - I_{\varepsilon_2}^{1,-}(f, x, t)|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^2} \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} E f(W_y) f(W_{y'}) (W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y) (W_{y'+\varepsilon_1} - W_{y'}) dy dy' \\ &\quad - 2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2} \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} E f(W_y) f(W_{y'}) (W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y) (W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'}) dy dy' \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_2^2} \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} E f(W_y) f(W_{y'}) (W_{y+\varepsilon_2} - W_y) (W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'}) dy dy' \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^2 \varepsilon_2} \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} \{ \varepsilon_2 \Phi_{y,y'}(1, \varepsilon_1) - \varepsilon_1 \Phi_{y,y'}(2, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \} dy dy' \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2^2} \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} \{ \varepsilon_1 \Phi_{y,y'}(1, \varepsilon_2) - \varepsilon_2 \Phi_{y,y'}(2, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \} dy dy', \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$\Phi_{y,y'}(1, \varepsilon) = E[f(W_y)f(W_{y'})(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)(W_{y'+\varepsilon} - W_{y'})],$$

and

$$\Phi_{y,y'}(2, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) = E [f(W_y)f(W_{y'})(W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y)(W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'})].$$

To end the proof, it is enough to assume that $f \in \mathcal{C}$ by denseness, and moreover, by approximating we can assume that f is an infinitely differentiable function with compact support. It follows from (3.10) that

$$\Phi_{y,y'}(1, \varepsilon) = \sum_{j=1}^5 \Psi_j(y, y', \varepsilon, \varepsilon), \quad \Phi_{y,y'}(2, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) = \sum_{j=1}^5 \Psi_j(y, y', \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2),$$

which give

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon_j \Phi_{y,y'}(1, \varepsilon_i) - \varepsilon_i \Phi_{y,y'}(2, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \\ &= A_{y,y'}(1, \varepsilon_i, j) E [f''(W_y)f(W_{y'})] + A_{y,y'}(2-1, \varepsilon_i, j) E [f'(W_y)f'(W_{y'})] \\ & \quad + A_{y,y'}(3, \varepsilon_i, j) E [f(W_y)f''(W_{y'})] + A_{y,y'}(2-2, \varepsilon_i, j) E [f'(W_y)f'(W_{y'})] \\ & \quad + A_{y,y'}(4, \varepsilon, j) E [f(W_y)f(W_{y'})] \end{aligned}$$

with $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $i \neq j$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{y,y'}(1, \varepsilon, j) &:= \varepsilon_j E [W_y(W_{y'+\varepsilon} - W_{y'})] E [W_y(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)] \\ & \quad - \varepsilon E [W_y(W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'})] E [W_y(W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y)], \\ A_{y,y'}(2-1, \varepsilon, j) &:= \varepsilon_j E [W_y(W_{y'+\varepsilon} - W_{y'})] E [W_{y'}(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)] \\ & \quad - \varepsilon E [W_y(W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'})] E [W_{y'}(W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y)], \\ A_{y,y'}(2-2, \varepsilon, j) &:= \varepsilon_j E [W_{y'}(W_{y'+\varepsilon} - W_{y'})] E [W_y(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)] \\ & \quad - \varepsilon E [W_{y'}(W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'})] E [W_y(W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y)], \\ A_{y,y'}(3, \varepsilon, j) &:= \varepsilon_j E [W_{y'}(W_{y'+\varepsilon} - W_{y'})] E [W_{y'}(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)] \\ & \quad - \varepsilon E [W_{y'}(W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'})] E [W_{y'}(W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y)], \\ A_{y,y'}(4, \varepsilon, j) &:= \varepsilon_j E [(W_{y+\varepsilon} - W_y)(W_{y'+\varepsilon} - W_{y'})] - \varepsilon E [(W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y)(W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'})] \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$ and $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, we claim that the following convergences hold:

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{1}{\varepsilon_i^2 \varepsilon_j} \int_0^t \int_0^t \{ \varepsilon_j \Phi_{y,y'}(1, \varepsilon_i) - \varepsilon_i \Phi_{y,y'}(2, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \} ds dr \longrightarrow 0$$

with $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $i \neq j$, as $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$. We decompose that

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon_j \Phi_{y,y'}(1, \varepsilon_i) - \varepsilon_i \Phi_{y,y'}(2, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \\ &= \{ A_{y,y'}(1, \varepsilon_i, j) - A_{y,y'}(2-1, \varepsilon_i, j) \} E [f''(W_y)f(W_{y'})] \\ & \quad + A_{y,y'}(2-1, \varepsilon_i, j) \{ E [f'(W_y)f'(W_{y'})] + E [f''(W_y)f(W_{y'})] \} \\ & \quad + \{ A_{y,y'}(3, \varepsilon_i, j) - A_{y,y'}(2-2, \varepsilon_i, j) \} E [f(W_y)f''(W_{y'})] \\ & \quad + A_{y,y'}(2-2, \varepsilon_i, j) \{ E [f'(W_y)f'(W_{y'})] + E [f(W_y)f''(W_{y'})] \} \\ & \quad + A_{y,y'}(4, \varepsilon, j) E [f(W_y)f(W_{y'})] \end{aligned}$$

with $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $i \neq j$. By symmetry we only need to introduce the convergence (3.13) with $i = 1$ and $j = 2$.

Step I. The following convergence holds:

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^2 \varepsilon_2} \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} A_{y,y'}(4, \varepsilon_1, 2) E [f(W_y)f(W_{y'})] dy dy' \longrightarrow 0,$$

as $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{y,y'}(4, \varepsilon_1, 2) &= \varepsilon_2 E[(W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y)(W_{y'+\varepsilon_1} - W_{y'})] \\
&\quad - \varepsilon_1 E[(W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y)(W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'})] \\
&= \varepsilon_2 \{EW_{y+\varepsilon_1}W_{y'+\varepsilon_1} - EW_yW_{y'+\varepsilon_1} - EW_{y+\varepsilon_1}W_{y'} + EW_yW_{y'}\} \\
&\quad - \varepsilon_1 \{EW_{y+\varepsilon_1}W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - EW_yW_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - EW_{y+\varepsilon_1}W_{y'} + EW_yW_{y'}\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\varepsilon_2 \left(\int_0^t \frac{2}{\sqrt{r}} e^{-\frac{(y-y')^2}{4r}} dr - \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} e^{-\frac{(y-y'-\varepsilon_1)^2}{4r}} dr - \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} e^{-\frac{(y+\varepsilon_1-y')^2}{4r}} dr \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\varepsilon_1 \left(\int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} e^{-\frac{(y-y'+\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2)^2}{4r}} dr - \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} e^{-\frac{(y-y'-\varepsilon_2)^2}{4r}} dr \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} e^{-\frac{(y+\varepsilon_1-y')^2}{4r}} dr + \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} e^{-\frac{(y-y')^2}{4r}} dr \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the next function on $\mathbb{R}_+$ (see Section 2):

$$f(x) = x \int_x^\infty \frac{1}{s^2} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds = e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} - x \int_x^\infty e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{y,y'}(4, \varepsilon_1, 2) &= \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\varepsilon_2 \left(2f\left(\frac{y-y'}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) - f\left(\frac{y-y'-\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) - f\left(\frac{y+\varepsilon_1-y'}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\varepsilon_1 \left(f\left(\frac{y-y'+\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) - f\left(\frac{y-y'-\varepsilon_2}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) - f\left(\frac{y+\varepsilon_1-y'}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) + f\left(\frac{y-y'}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that, by Taylor's expansion

$$f(x) = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{4!}x^4 + o(x^4).$$

One get

$$\begin{aligned}
&2f\left(\frac{y-y'}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) - f\left(\frac{y-y'-\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) - f\left(\frac{y-y'+\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4t} \{2(y-y')^2 - (y-y'-\varepsilon_1)^2 - (y-y'+\varepsilon_1)^2\} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4 \times 4!t^2} \{2(y-y')^4 - (y-y'-\varepsilon_1)^4 - (y-y'+\varepsilon_1)^4\} + \alpha_1 \\
&= -\frac{1}{4t}\varepsilon_1^2 + \frac{1}{4 \times 4!t^2} (12(y-y')^2\varepsilon_1^2 + 2\varepsilon_1^4) + \alpha_1
\end{aligned}$$

with $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{4t^2}o(12(y-y')^2\varepsilon_1^2 + 2\varepsilon_1^4)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&f\left(\frac{y-y'+\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) - f\left(\frac{y-y'-\varepsilon_2}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) - f\left(\frac{y-y'+\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) + f\left(\frac{y-y'}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4t} \{(y-y'+\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2)^2 - (y-y'-\varepsilon_2)^2 - (y-y'+\varepsilon_1)^2 + (y-y')^2\} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4 \times 4!t^2} \{(y-y'+\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2)^4 - (y-y'-\varepsilon_2)^4 - (y-y'+\varepsilon_1)^4 + (y-y')^4\} + \alpha_2 \\
&= -\frac{1}{4t}\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 + \frac{1}{4 \times 4!t^2} \{12(y-y')^2\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2)(12(y-y') + 2\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)\} + \alpha_2
\end{aligned}$$

with $\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{4t^2}o(12(y-y')^2\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2)(12(y-y') + 2\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2))$. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^2\varepsilon_2}|A_{y,y'}(4, \varepsilon_1, 2)| \leq \frac{C}{t^{3/2}} \left(\varepsilon_1 + \frac{o(\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2)}{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2} + \frac{o(\varepsilon_1^2)}{\varepsilon_1^2} \right) (|x|^2 + |x| + 1).$$

for all $0 < \varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon_1 < 1$ and $y, y' \in I_x$, which shows that the convergence (3.13) holds since $f \in \mathcal{H}_t$.

Step II. The following convergence holds:

$$(3.15) \quad \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^2 \varepsilon_2} \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} \{A_{y,y'}(1, \varepsilon_1, 2) - A_{y,y'}(2-1, \varepsilon_1, 2)\} \\ \cdot E[f''(W_y)f(W_{y'})] dy dy' \longrightarrow 0,$$

as $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$. Keeping the notations in Step I, we have

$$f\left(\frac{y-y'-\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) - f\left(\frac{y-y'}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}}\varepsilon - \frac{1}{4t}(2(y-y')-\varepsilon)\varepsilon \\ - \frac{1}{4 \times 4!t^2}(-4(y-y')^3 + 6\varepsilon(y-y')^2 - 4\varepsilon^3(y-y') + \varepsilon^3)\varepsilon$$

for all ε and

$$\Delta_1 := \varepsilon_2 \left(f\left(\frac{y-y'-\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) - f\left(\frac{y-y'}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) \right) - \varepsilon_1 \left(f\left(\frac{y-y'-\varepsilon_2}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) - f\left(\frac{y-y'}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) \right) \\ = \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) \left\{ \frac{1}{4t} - \frac{3(y-y')^2}{2 \times 4!t^2} + \frac{y-y'}{4!t^2}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) - \frac{1}{4 \times 4!t^2}(\varepsilon_1^2 - \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_2^2) \right\}.$$

It follows from (2.5) that

$$|A_{y,y'}(1, \varepsilon_1, 2) - A_{y,y'}(2-1, \varepsilon_1, 2)| \\ = |\varepsilon_2 E[W_y(W_{y'+\varepsilon_1} - W_{y'})] E[(W_y - W_{y'})(W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y)] \\ - \varepsilon_1 E[W_y(W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'})] E[(W_y - W_{y'})(W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y)]| \\ = |E[(W_y - W_{y'})(W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y)]| \\ \cdot |\varepsilon_2 E[W_y(W_{y'+\varepsilon_1} - W_{y'})] - \varepsilon_1 E[W_y(W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'})]| \\ = |E[(W_y - u_{y'})(W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y)]| |\Delta_1| \\ \leq C|y-y'|\varepsilon_1^2 \varepsilon_2 (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) \left\{ \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t^2}(|x|^2 + |x| + 1) \right\}$$

for all $0 < \varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon_1 < 1$ and $y, y' \in I_x$, which implies that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^2 \varepsilon_2} \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} |A_{y,y'}(1, \varepsilon_1, 2) - A_{y,y'}(2-1, \varepsilon_1, 2)| |E[f''(W_y)f(W_{y'})]| dy dy' \\ \leq C(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) \left\{ \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t^2}(|x|^2 + |x| + 1) \right\} \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} |y-y'| |E[f''(W_y)f(W_{y'})]| dy dy' \\ \leq C(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) \left\{ \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t^2}(|x|^2 + |x| + 1) \right\} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_t}^2 \longrightarrow 0,$$

as $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ since $f \in \mathcal{H}_t$. Similarly, we can show that the next convergence:

$$(3.16) \quad \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^2 \varepsilon_2} \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} \{A_{y,y'}(3, \varepsilon_1, 2) - A_{y,y'}(2-2, \varepsilon_1, 2)\} E[f(W_y)f''(W_{y'})] dy dy' \longrightarrow 0,$$

as $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$.

Step III. The following convergence holds:

$$(3.17) \quad \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^2 \varepsilon_2} \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} A_{y,y'}(2-1, \varepsilon_1, 2) \\ \cdot \{E[f'(W_y)f'(W_{y'})] + E[f''(W_y)f(W_{y'})]\} dy dy' \longrightarrow 0,$$

as $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$. By Step II and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{y,y'}(2-1, \varepsilon_1, 2)| &= |E[W_{y'}(W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y)]| \\ &\quad \cdot |\varepsilon_2 E[W_y(W_{y'+\varepsilon_1} - W_{y'})] - \varepsilon_1 E[W_y(W_{y'+\varepsilon_2} - W_{y'})]| \\ &= |E[W_{y'}(W_{y+\varepsilon_1} - W_y)]| |\Delta_1| \\ &\leq C\varepsilon_1^2\varepsilon_2(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) \left\{ \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t^2}(|x|^2 + |x| + 1) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < \varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon_1 < 1$ and $y, y' \in I_x$, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^2\varepsilon_2} \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} |A_{y,y'}(2-1, \varepsilon_1, 2)| &|E[f'(W_y)f'(W_{y'})] + E[f''(W_y)f(W_{y'})]| dy dy' \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) \left\{ \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t^2}(|x|^2 + |x| + 1) \right\} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_t}^2 \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ by (3.11), since $f \in \mathcal{H}_t$. Similarly, we can show that the next convergence:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.18) \quad \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^2\varepsilon_2} \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} A_{y,y'}(2-2, \varepsilon_1, 2) \\ \cdot \{E[f'(W_y)f'(W_{y'})] + E[f(W_y)f''(W_{y'})]\} dy dy' \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$. Thus, we have proved the second statement. $\square$

Corollary 3.1. *Let $f, f_1, f_2, \dots \in \mathcal{H}_t$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $\mathcal{H}_t$. Then, the convergence*

$$(3.19) \quad [f_n(W), W]_x^{(SQ)} \rightarrow [f(W), W]_x^{(SQ)}$$

holds in $L^2(\Omega)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

4. THE ITÔ'S FORMULA OF PROCESS $\{u(\cdot, x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}$

In this section, as a application of the previous section we discuss the Itô calculus of the process $W = \{W_x = u(\cdot, x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and fix a time parameter $t > 0$. For a continuous processes X admitting finite quadratic variation $[X, X]$, Russo and Vallois [30, 31] have introduced the following Itô formula:

$$F(X_t) = F(X_0) + \int_0^t F'(X_s) d^- X_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t F''(X_s) d[X, X]_s$$

for all $F \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, where

$$\int_0^t F'(X_s) d^- X_s := \text{ucplim}_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t F'(X_s) (X_{s+\varepsilon} - X_s) ds$$

is called the forward integral, where the notation ucplim denotes the uniform convergence in probability on each compact interval. We refer to Russo and Vallois [30, 31] and the references therein for more details of stochastic calculus of continuous processes with finite quadratic variations. It follows from the previous section (the quadratic variation of $\{W_x, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is equal to $|x|$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$) that

$$(4.1) \quad F(W_x) = F(W_0) + \int_{I_x} F'(W_y) d^- W_y + \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_x} F''(W_y) dy$$

for all $F \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$. Thys, by smooth approximating, we have that the next Itô type formula.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_t$ be left continuous. If F is an absolutely continuous function with the derivative $F' = f$, then the following Itô type formula holds:*

$$(4.2) \quad F(W_x) = F(W_0) + \int_{I_x} f(W_y) d^- W_y + \frac{1}{2} [f(W), W]_x^{(SQ)}.$$

Clearly, this is an analogue of Föllmer-Protter-Shiryayev's formula. It is an improvement in terms of the hypothesis on f and it is also quite interesting itself. Some details and more works could be found in Eisenbaum [6, 7], Feng-Zhao [8, 9], Föllmer *et al* [10], Moret-Nualart [21], Peskir [26], Rogers-Walsh [27], Russo-Vallois [29, 30, 31], Yan *et al* [39, 40], and the references therein. It is well-known that when W is a semimartingale, the forward integral coincides with the Itô integral. However, the following theorem points out that the two integrals are coincident for the process $W = \{W_x, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$. But, $W = \{W_x, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is not a semimartingale.

Theorem 4.2. *Let f be left continuous. If F is an absolutely continuous function with the derivative $F' = f$ satisfying the condition*

$$(4.3) \quad |F(y)|, |f(y)| \leq Ce^{\beta y^2}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}$$

with $0 \leq \beta < \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4\sqrt{t}}$, then the following Itô type formula holds:

$$(4.4) \quad F(W_x) = F(W_0) + \int_{I_x} f(W_y) \delta W_y + \frac{1}{2} [f(W), W]_x^{(SQ)}.$$

According to the two theorems above we get the next relationship:

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{I_x} f(W_y) \delta W_y = \int_{I_x} f(W_y) d^- W_y,$$

if f satisfies the growth condition (4.3).

Proof of Theorem 4.1. If $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, then this is Itô's formula since

$$[f(W), W]_x^{(SQ)} = \int_{I_x} f'(W_y) dy.$$

For $f \notin C^1(\mathbb{R})$, by a localization argument we may assume that the function f is uniformly bounded. In fact, for any $k \geq 0$ we may consider the set

$$\Omega_k = \left\{ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |W_x| < k \right\}$$

and let $f^{[k]}$ be a measurable function such that $f^{[k]} = f$ on $[-k, k]$ and such that $f^{[k]}$ vanishes outside. Then $f^{[k]}$ is uniformly bounded and $f^{[k]} \in \mathcal{H}_t$ for every $k \geq 0$. Set $\frac{d}{dx} F^{[k]} = f^{[k]}$ and $F^{[k]} = F$ on $[-k, k]$. If the theorem is true for all uniformly bounded functions on $\mathcal{H}_t$, then we get the desired formula

$$F^{[k]}(W_x) = F^{[k]}(W_0) + \int_{I_x} f^{[k]}(W_y) d^- W_y + \frac{1}{2} [f^{[k]}(W), W]_x^{(SQ)}$$

on the set Ω_k . Letting k tend to infinity we deduce the Itô formula (4.1).

Let now $F' = f \in \mathcal{H}_t$ be uniformly bounded and left continuous. Consider the function ζ on $\mathbb{R}$ by

$$(4.6) \quad \zeta(x) := \begin{cases} ce^{\frac{1}{(x-1)^2-1}}, & x \in (0, 2), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where c is a normalizing constant such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta(x) dx = 1$. Define the mollifiers

$$(4.7) \quad \zeta_n(x) := n\zeta(nx), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

and the sequence of smooth functions

$$F_n(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x-y) \zeta_n(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then $F_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ for all $n \geq 1$ and the Itô formula

$$(4.8) \quad F_n(W_x) = F_n(W_0) + \int_{I_x} f_n(W_y) d^- W_y + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f'_n(W_y) dy$$

holds for all $n \geq 1$, where $f_n = F'_n$. Moreover, by using Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, one can prove that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each x ,

$$F_n(x) \rightarrow F(x), \quad f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x),$$

and $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{H}_t$, $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $\mathcal{H}_t$. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f'_n(W_y) dy = [f_n(W), W]_x^{(SQ)} \rightarrow [f(W), W]_x^{(SQ)}$$

and

$$f_n(W_x) \rightarrow f(W_x)$$

in $L^2(\Omega)$ by Corollary 3.1, as n tends to infinity. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_x} f_n(W_y) d^- W_y &= F_n(W_y) - F_n(W_0) - \frac{1}{2} [f_n(W), W]_x^{(SQ)} \\ &\rightarrow F(W_y) - F(W_0) - \frac{1}{2} [f(W), W]_x^{(SQ)} \end{aligned}$$

in $L^2(\Omega)$, as n tends to infinity. This completes the proof since the integral is closed in $L^2(\Omega)$. $\square$

Now, similar to proof of Theorem 4.1 one can introduce Theorem 4.2. But, we need to give the following standard Itô type formula:

$$(4.9) \quad F(W_x) = F(W_0) + \int_{I_x} F'(W_y) \delta W_y + \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_x} F''(W_y) dy$$

for all $F \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying the condition

$$(4.10) \quad |F(y)|, |F'(y)|, |F''(y)| \leq C e^{\beta y^2}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}$$

with $0 \leq \beta < \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4\sqrt{t}}$. It is important to note that one have given a standard Itô formula for a large class of Gaussian processes in Alós *et al* [1]. However, the process $x \mapsto u(\cdot, x)$ does not satisfy the condition in Alós *et al* [1] since

$$E[u(t, x)^2] = \sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}}, \quad \frac{d}{dx} E[u(t, x)^2] = 0$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. So, we need to give the proof of the formula (4.9) in order to prove Theorem 4.2.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $x_j^n = \frac{jx}{n}; j = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Then we have*

$$(4.11) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \left(W_{x_j^n} - W_{x_{j-1}^n} \right)^2 \rightarrow |x|,$$

in L^2 , as n tends to infinity.

Proof. Similar to proof of Proposition 3.1 one can introduce the lemma, so we omit it. $\square$

Proof of (4.9). Let us fix $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\pi \equiv \{x_j^n = \frac{jx}{n}; j = 0, 1, \dots, n\}$ be a partition of I_x . Clearly, the growth condition (4.4) implies that

$$(4.12) \quad E \left[\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |G(W_x)|^p \right] \leq c^p E \left[e^{p\beta \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |W_x|} \right] < \infty$$

for some constant $c > 0$ and all $p < \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\beta\sqrt{t}}$, where $G \in \{F, F', F''\}$. In particular, the estimate (4.12) holds for $p = 2$. Using Taylor expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.13) \quad F(W_x) &= F(W_0) + \sum_{j=1}^n F'(W_{x_{j-1}^n})(W_{x_j^n} - W_{x_{j-1}^n}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n F''(W_j(\theta_j))(W_{x_j^n} - W_{x_{j-1}^n})^2 \\
&\equiv F(W_0) + I^n + J^n
\end{aligned}$$

where $W_j(\theta_j) = W_{x_{j-1}^n} + \theta_j(W_{x_j^n} - W_{x_{j-1}^n})$ with $\theta_j \in (0, 1)$ being a random variable. By (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I^n &= \sum_{j=1}^n F'(W_{x_{j-1}^n})(\delta^t(1_{(x_{j-1}^n, x_j^n]})) \\
&= \delta^t \left(\sum_{j=1}^n f'(W_{x_{j-1}^n}) 1_{(x_{j-1}^n, x_j^n]}(\cdot) \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n F''(W_{x_{j-1}^n}) \langle 1_{(0, x_{j-1}^n]}, 1_{(x_{j-1}^n, x_j^n]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_t} \\
&\equiv I_1^n + I_2^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, in order to end the proof we claim that the following convergences in L^2 hold:

$$(4.14) \quad I_2^n \longrightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t F''(W_y) dy,$$

$$(4.15) \quad I_1^n \longrightarrow \int_{I_x} F'(W_y) \delta W_y,$$

$$(4.16) \quad J^n \longrightarrow \int_0^t F''(W_y) dy,$$

as n tends to infinity.

To prove the first convergence, it is enough to establish that

$$\Lambda_n := E \left| I_2^n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n F''(W_{x_j^n})(x_j^n - x_{j-1}^n) \right|^2 \longrightarrow 0,$$

as n tends to infinity. By Minkowski inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{\Lambda_n} &= \left(E \left| \sum_{j=1}^n F''(W_{x_{j-1}^n}) \left\{ \langle 1_{(0, x_{j-1}^n]}, 1_{(x_{j-1}^n, x_j^n]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_t} + \frac{1}{2}(x_j^n - x_{j-1}^n) \right\} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \langle 1_{(0, x_{j-1}^n]}, 1_{(x_{j-1}^n, x_j^n]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_t} + \frac{1}{2}(x_j^n - x_{j-1}^n) \right| \\
&= C \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{4r}(x_j^n - x_{j-1}^n)^2} \right) dr - \frac{1}{2}(x_j^n - x_{j-1}^n) \right| \\
&= C \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j^n - x_{j-1}^n| \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\frac{x_j^n - x_{j-1}^n}{\sqrt{2t}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \right) ds - \frac{1}{2} \right| \\
&= C \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j^n - x_{j-1}^n| \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{\frac{x_j^n - x_{j-1}^n}{\sqrt{2t}}} \frac{1}{s^2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \right) ds \right| \\
&= C |x| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{\frac{|x|}{\sqrt{n\sqrt{2t}}}} \frac{1}{s^2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \right) ds \longrightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

as n tends to infinity by the fact

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{s^2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}}\right) ds = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Now, we prove the third convergence. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_n(2) &:= E \left| J^n - \int_{I_x} F''(W_y) dy \right| \\ &= E \left| \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n F''(W_j(\theta_j)) (W_{x_j^n} - W_{x_{j-1}^n})^2 - \int_{I_x} F''(W_y) dy \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $n \geq m$, and for any $j = 1, \dots, n$ let us denote by $x_j^{m(n)}$ the point of the m th partition that is closer to x_j^n from the left. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_n(2) &\leq \frac{1}{2} E \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \left(F''(W_j(\theta_j)) - F''(W_{x_j^{m(n)}}) \right) (W_{x_j^n} - W_{x_{j-1}^n})^2 \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} E \left| \sum_{k=1}^m F''(W_{x_k^{m(n)}}) \sum_{\{j: x_{k-1}^{m(n)} \leq x_{j-1}^{m(n)} < x_k^{m(n)}\}} \left((W_{x_j^n} - W_{x_{j-1}^n})^2 - (x_j^n - x_{j-1}^n) \right) \right| \\ &\quad + E \left| \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{x_{k-1}^n}^{x_k^n} \left(F''(W_{x_j^{m(n)}}) - F''(W_y) \right) dy \right| \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_n(2, 1) + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_n(2, 2) + \Lambda_n(2, 3). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, we have that $\Lambda_n(2, 2) \rightarrow 0$ ($n, m \rightarrow \infty$) by Lemma 4.1 and the estimate (4.12),

$$\Lambda_n(2, 3) \leq |x| E \sup_{|z-y| \leq \frac{|x|}{m}} |F''(W_z) - F''(W_y)| \rightarrow 0 \quad (m \rightarrow \infty)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_n(2, 1) &= E \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \left(F''(W_j(\theta_j)) - F''(W_{x_j^{m(n)}}) \right) (W_{x_j^n} - W_{x_{j-1}^n})^2 \right| \\ &\leq C E \left\{ \sup_{|z-y| \leq \frac{|x|}{n}} |F''(W_z) - F''(W_y)| \sum_{j=1}^n (W_{x_j^n} - W_{x_{j-1}^n})^2 \right\} \\ &\leq C \left\{ E \sup_{|z-y| \leq \frac{|x|}{n}} |F''(W_z) - F''(W_y)|^2 E \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (W_{x_j^n} - W_{x_{j-1}^n})^2 \right)^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq C |x| \left\{ E \sup_{|z-y| \leq \frac{|x|}{n}} |F''(W_z) - F''(W_y)|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

by (2.2) and the estimate (4.12). Thus, we obtain the third convergence, i.e., $J^n \rightarrow \int_0^t F''(W_y) dy$ in L^1 .

Finally, to end the proof we show that the second convergence:

$$I_1^n = \delta^t \left(\sum_{j=1}^n F'(W_{x_{j-1}^n}) 1_{(x_{j-1}^n, x_j^n]}(\cdot) \right) \rightarrow \int_{I_x} F'(W_y) \delta W_y \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

We need to show that

$$A_n := \sum_{j=1}^n F'(W_{x_{j-1}^n}) 1_{(x_{j-1}^n, x_j^n]}(\cdot) \longrightarrow F'(W_\cdot) 1_{I_x}(\cdot)$$

in $L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{H}_t)$, as n tends to infinity. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & E \|A_n - F'(W_\cdot) 1_{I_x}(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}_t}^2 \\ &= E \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \left(F'(W_{x_{j-1}^n}) - F'(W_\cdot) \right) 1_{(x_{j-1}^n, x_j^n]}(\cdot) \right\|_{|\mathcal{H}_t|}^2 \\ &= E \sum_{j,l=1}^n \int_{x_{j-1}^n}^{x_j^n} \int_{x_{l-1}^n}^{x_l^n} \left| F'(W_{x_{j-1}^n}) - F'(W_y) \right| \left| F'(W_{x_{j-1}^n}) - F'(W_z) \right| \phi(y, z) dy dz \\ &\leq E \sup_{|y-z| \leq \frac{|x|}{n}} \left| F'(W_y) - F'(W_z) \right|^2 \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} \phi(y, z) dy dz \\ &= E \sup_{|y-z| \leq \frac{|x|}{n}} \left| F'(W_y) - F'(W_z) \right|^2 \int_{I_x} \int_{I_x} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(y-z)^2}{4t}} dy dz \\ &\leq E \sup_{|y-z| \leq \frac{|x|}{n}} \left| F'(W_y) - F'(W_z) \right|^2 \int_{I_x} dz \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(y-z)^2}{4t}} dy \\ &\leq |x| E \sup_{|y-z| \leq \frac{|x|}{n}} \left| F'(W_y) - F'(W_z) \right|^2 \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as n tends to infinity by the estimate (4.12), which implies that

$$A_n := \sum_{j=1}^n F'(W_{x_{j-1}^n}) 1_{(x_{j-1}^n, x_j^n]}(\cdot) \longrightarrow F'(W_\cdot) 1_{I_x}(\cdot)$$

in $L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{H}_t)$, as n tends to infinity. The above steps prove that

$$I_1^n = F(W_x) - F(W_0) - I_2^n - J^n \longrightarrow F(W_x) - F(W_0) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_x} F''(W_y) dy$$

in $L^2(\Omega)$, as n tends to infinity. This completes the proof since the integral $\int_0^\cdot u_s \delta W_s$ is closed in $L^2(\Omega)$. $\square$

5. THE BOULEAU-YOR IDENTITY OF $\{u(\cdot, x), x \geq 0\}$

In this section, we consider the local time of the process $\{W_x = u(\cdot, x), x \geq 0\}$. Our main object is to prove that the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(a) \mathcal{L}^t(x, da)$$

is well-defined and that the identity

$$(5.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(a) \mathcal{L}^t(x, da) = -[g(u(t, \cdot)), u(t, \cdot)]_x^{(SQ)}$$

holds for all $g \in \mathcal{H}_t$ and $t > 0$, where

$$\mathcal{L}^t(x, a) = \int_{I_x} \delta(u(t, y) - a) dy.$$

is the local time of $\{W_x = u(\cdot, x), x \geq 0\}$. The identity (5.1) is called the Bouleau-Yor identity. More works for this can be found in Bouleau-Yor [2], Eisenbaum [6, 7], Föllmer *et al* [10], Feng-Zhao [8, 9], Peskir [26], Rogers-Walsh [27], Yan *et al* [39, 40], and the references therein.

Recall that for any closed interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ and for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, the local time $L(a, I)$ of u is defined as the density of the occupation measure μ_I defined by

$$\mu_I(A) = \int_I 1_A(W_x) dx$$

It can be shown (see Geman and Horowitz [11], Theorem 6.4) that the following occupation density formula holds:

$$\int_I g(W_x, x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} da \int_I g(a, x) L(a, dx)$$

for every Borel function $g(a, x) \geq 0$ on $I \times \mathbb{R}$. Thus, some estimates in Section 2 and Theorem 21.9 in Geman-Horowitz [11] together imply that the following result holds.

Corollary 5.1. *The local time $\mathcal{L}^t(a, x) := L(a, [0, x])$ of $W = \{W_x = u(\cdot, x), x \geq 0\}$ exists and $\mathcal{L}^t \in L^2(\lambda \times P)$ for all $x \geq 0$ and $(a, x) \mapsto \mathcal{L}^t(a, x)$ is jointly continuous, where λ denotes Lebesgue measure. Moreover, the occupation formula*

$$(5.2) \quad \int_0^t \psi(W_x, x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} da \int_0^t \psi(a, x) \mathcal{L}^t(a, dx)$$

holds for every continuous and bounded function $\psi(a, x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any $x \geq 0$.

Lemma 5.1. *For any $f_{\Delta} = \sum_j f_j 1_{(a_{j-1}, a_j]} \in \mathcal{E}$, we define*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{\Delta}(y) \mathcal{L}^t(dy, x) := \sum_j f_j [\mathcal{L}^t(a_j, x) - \mathcal{L}^t(a_{j-1}, x)].$$

Then the integral is well-defined and

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{\Delta}(y) \mathcal{L}^t(dy, x) = -[f_{\Delta}(W), W]_x^{(SQ)}$$

almost surely, for all $x \geq 0$.

Proof. For the function $f_{\Delta}(y) = 1_{(a, b]}(y)$ we define the sequence of smooth functions f_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$ by

$$(5.4) \quad f_n(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{\Delta}(y - z) \zeta_n(z) dz = \int_a^b \zeta_n(y - z) dz$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\zeta_n, n \geq 1$ are the so-called mollifiers given in (4.7). Then $\{f_n\} \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{H}_t$ and f_n converges to f_{Δ} in $\mathcal{H}_t$, as n tends to infinity. It follows from the occupation formula that

$$\begin{aligned} [f_n(W), W]_x^{(SQ)} &= \int_0^x f'_n(W_y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'_n(y) \mathcal{L}^t(y, x) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_a^b \zeta'_n(y - z) dz \right) \mathcal{L}^t(y, x) dy \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}^t(y, x) (\zeta_n(y - b) - \zeta_n(y - a)) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}^t(y, x) \zeta_n(y - a) dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}^t(y, x) \zeta_n(y - b) dy \\ &\longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^t(a, x) - \mathcal{L}^t(b, x) \end{aligned}$$

almost surely, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by the continuity of $y \mapsto \mathcal{L}^t(y, x)$. On the other hand, we see also that there exists a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ such that

$$[f_{n_k}(W), W]_x^{(SQ)} \longrightarrow [1_{(a, b]}(W), W]_x^{(SQ)}$$

for all $x \geq 0$, almost surely, as $k \rightarrow \infty$ since f_n converges to f_Δ in $\mathcal{H}_t$. It follows that

$$[1_{(a,b]}(W), W]_x^{(SQ)} = (\mathcal{L}^t(a, x) - \mathcal{L}^t(b, x))$$

for all $x \geq 0$, almost surely. Thus, the identity

$$\sum_j f_j [\mathcal{L}^t(a_j, x) - \mathcal{L}^t(a_{j-1}, x)] = -[f_\Delta(W), W]_x^{(SQ)}$$

follows from the linearity property, and the lemma follows. $\square$

As a direct consequence of the above lemma, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_t$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{\Delta, n}(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_{\Delta, n}(x) = f(y)$$

in $\mathcal{H}_t$, where $\{f_{\Delta, n}\}, \{g_{\Delta, n}\} \subset \mathcal{E}$, we then have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{\Delta, n}(y) \mathcal{L}^t(y, x) dy &= - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f_{\Delta, n}(W), W]_x^{(SQ)} = -[f(W), W]_x^{(SQ)} \\ &= - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [g_{\Delta, n}(W), W]_x^{(SQ)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\Delta, n}(y) \mathcal{L}^t(y, x) dy \end{aligned}$$

in $L^2(\Omega)$. Thus, by the denseness of $\mathcal{E}$ in $\mathcal{H}_t$ we can define

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) \mathcal{L}^t(dy, x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{\Delta, n}(y) \mathcal{L}^t(dy, x)$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{H}_t$, where $\{f_{\Delta, n}\} \subset \mathcal{E}$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{\Delta, n} = f$$

in $\mathcal{H}_t$. These considerations are enough to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *For any $f \in \mathcal{H}_t$, the integral*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) \mathcal{L}^t(dy, x)$$

is well-defined in $L^2(\Omega)$ and the Bouleau-Yor type identity

$$(5.5) \quad [f(W), W]_x^{(SQ)} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) \mathcal{L}^t(dy, x)$$

holds, almost surely, for all $x \geq 0$.

Corollary 5.2 (Tanaka formula). *For any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (W_x - a)^+ &= (W_0 - a)^+ + \int_0^x 1_{\{W_y > a\}} \delta W_y + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}^t(a, x), \\ (W_x - a)^- &= (W_0 - a)^- - \int_0^x 1_{\{W_y < a\}} \delta W_y + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}^t(a, x), \\ |W_x - a| &= |W_0 - a| + \int_0^x \text{sign}(W_x - a) \delta W_y + \mathcal{L}^t(a, x). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Take $F(y) = (y - x)^+$. Then F is absolutely continuous and

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x 1_{(x, \infty)}(y) dy.$$

It follows from the identity (5.3) and Itô's formula (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^t(a, x) &= [1_{(a, +\infty)}(W), W]_x^{(SQ)} \\ &= 2(W_x - a)^+ - 2(-a)^+ - 2 \int_0^x 1_{\{W_y > a\}} \delta W_y \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \geq 0$, which gives the first identity. In the same way one can obtain the second identity, and by subtracting the last identity from the previous one, we get the third identity. $\square$

According to Theorem 5.1, we get an analogue of the Itô formula (Bouleau-Yor type formula).

Corollary 5.3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_t$ be a left continuous function with right limits. If F is an absolutely continuous function with $F' = f$, then the following Itô type formula holds:*

$$(5.6) \quad F(W_x) = F(W_0) + \int_0^x f(W_y) \delta W_y - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) \mathcal{L}^t(dy, x).$$

Recall that if F is the difference of two convex functions, then F is an absolutely continuous function with derivative of bounded variation. Thus, the Itô-Tanaka formula

$$\begin{aligned} F(W_x) &= F(0) + \int_0^x F'(W_y) \delta W_y + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}^t(y, x) F''(dy) \\ &\equiv F(0) + \int_0^x F'(W_y) \delta W_y - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F'(y) \mathcal{L}^t(dy, x) \end{aligned}$$

holds.

6. THE QUADRATIC COVARIATION OF PROCESS $\{u(t, \cdot), t \geq 0\}$

In this section, we study the existence of the PQC $[f(u(\cdot, x)), u(\cdot, x)]^{(TQ)}$. Recall that

$$I_\varepsilon^2(f, x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^t \{f(u(s + \varepsilon, x)) - f(u(s, x))\} (u(s + \varepsilon, x) - u(s, x)) \frac{ds}{2\sqrt{s}}$$

for $\varepsilon > 0, t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$(6.1) \quad [f(u(\cdot, x)), u(\cdot, x)]_t^{(TQ)} = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} I_\varepsilon^2(f, x, t),$$

provided the limit exists in probability. In this section, we study some analysis questions of the process $\{u(t, \cdot), t \geq 0\}$ associated with the quadratic covariation $[f(u(\cdot, x)), u(\cdot, x)]^{(TQ)}$, and the researches include the existence of the PQC $[f(u(\cdot, x)), u(\cdot, x)]^{(TQ)}$, the Itô and Tanaka formulas. Recall that

$$E[u(t, x)^2] = \sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}}$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote

$$B_t = u(t, \cdot), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

It follows from Alós *et al* [1] that the Itô formula

$$(6.2) \quad f(B_t) = f(0) + \int_0^t f'(B_s) \delta B_s + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \int_0^t f''(B_s) \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi s}}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying the condition

$$(6.3) \quad |f(x)|, |f'(x)|, |f''(x)| \leq C e^{\beta x^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

with $0 \leq \beta < \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4\sqrt{T}}$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$. We have*

$$(6.4) \quad [f(B), B]_t^{(TQ)} = \int_0^t f'(B_s) \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi s}}$$

and in particular, we have

$$[B, B]_t^{(TQ)} = \sqrt{\frac{2t}{\pi}}$$

for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Proposition 3.1. It is enough to show that, for each $t \geq 0$

$$(6.5) \quad \left\| B_t^\varepsilon - \sqrt{\frac{2t}{\pi}} \right\|_{L^2}^2 = O(\varepsilon^\alpha)$$

with some $\alpha > 0$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, by Lemma 3.1, where

$$B_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^t (B_{s+\varepsilon} - B_s)^2 d\sqrt{s}.$$

We have

$$E \left| B_t^\varepsilon - \sqrt{\frac{2t}{\pi}} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \int_0^t A_\varepsilon(s, r) d\sqrt{s} d\sqrt{r}$$

for $t \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A_\varepsilon(s, r) &:= E \left((B_{s+\varepsilon} - B_s)^2 - \sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon}{\pi}} \right) \left((B_{r+\varepsilon} - B_r)^2 - \sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon}{\pi}} \right) \\ &= E(B_{s+\varepsilon} - B_s)^2 (B_{r+\varepsilon} - B_r)^2 + \frac{2\varepsilon}{\pi} \\ &\quad - \sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon}{\pi}} E((B_{s+\varepsilon} - B_s)^2 + (B_{r+\varepsilon} - B_r)^2). \end{aligned}$$

Defined the function $\phi_s : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$\phi_s(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\sqrt{2(s+x)} - 2\sqrt{2s+x} + \sqrt{2s} \right)$$

for every $s > 0$. Then, we have

$$E[(B_{s+\varepsilon} - B_s)^2] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\sqrt{2(s+\varepsilon)} - 2\sqrt{2s+\varepsilon} + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} + \sqrt{2s} \right) = \phi_s(\varepsilon) + \sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon}{\pi}}$$

for all $s > 0$. Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} E[(B_{s+\varepsilon} - B_s)^2 (B_{r+\varepsilon} - B_r)^2] \\ = E[(B_{s+\varepsilon} - B_s)^2] E[(B_{r+\varepsilon} - B_r)^2] + 2(E[(B_{s+\varepsilon} - B_s)(B_{r+\varepsilon} - B_r)])^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $r, s \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we get

$$A_\varepsilon(s, r) = \phi_s(\varepsilon) \phi_r(\varepsilon) + 2(\mu_{s,r})^2$$

where $\mu_{s,r} := E[(B_{s+\varepsilon} - B_s)(B_{r+\varepsilon} - B_r)]$. Now, let us estimate the function

$$\phi_s(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\sqrt{2(s+\varepsilon)} - 2\sqrt{2s+\varepsilon} + \sqrt{2s} \right).$$

Clearly, one can see that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - 2\sqrt{1-x/2} + \sqrt{1-x}}{x^2} = -\frac{1}{16}$$

and the continuity of the function $x \mapsto 1 - 2\sqrt{1-x/2} + \sqrt{1-x}$ implies that

$$|\phi_s(\varepsilon)| = \sqrt{2(s+\varepsilon)} |1 - 2\sqrt{1-x/2} + \sqrt{1-x}| \leq C \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(s+\varepsilon)^{3/2}} \leq C \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\beta}}{(s+\varepsilon)^\beta}$$

with $x = \frac{\varepsilon}{s+\varepsilon}$ and $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$, which gives

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \int_0^t |\phi_s(\varepsilon) \phi_r(\varepsilon)| d\sqrt{s} d\sqrt{r} \leq C t^{1-2\beta} \varepsilon^{2\beta}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.4 that there is a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1+\alpha}} \int_0^t \int_0^t A_\varepsilon(s, r) d\sqrt{s} d\sqrt{r} = 0$$

for all $t > 0$, which gives the desired estimate

$$\left\| B_t^\varepsilon - \sqrt{\frac{2t}{\pi}} \right\|_{L^2}^2 = O(\varepsilon^\alpha) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0)$$

for each $t \geq 0$ and some $\alpha > 0$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Consider the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon^2(f, x, t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^t f(B_{s+\varepsilon})(B_{s+\varepsilon} - B_s) \frac{ds}{2\sqrt{s}} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^t f(B_s)(B_{s+\varepsilon} - B_s) \frac{ds}{2\sqrt{s}} \\ &\equiv I_\varepsilon^{2,+}(f, x, t) - I_\varepsilon^{2,-}(f, x, t) \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$, and by estimating the two terms in the right hand side above in $L^2(\Omega)$ one can structure the next Banach space:

$$\mathcal{H}_* = \{f : \text{Borel functions on } \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_*} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_*}^2 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(z)|^2 e^{-\frac{z^2\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{s}}} \frac{dz ds}{s^{3/4}} \equiv \int_0^T E|f(B_s)|^2 \frac{ds}{2\sqrt{s}}.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{H}_* = L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu(dz))$ with

$$\mu(dz) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_0^T e^{-\frac{z^2\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{s}}} \frac{ds}{s^{3/4}} \right) dz,$$

and $\mathcal{H}_*$ includes all functions f satisfying the condition

$$|f(x)| \leq C e^{\beta x^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

with $0 \leq \beta < \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4\sqrt{T}}$. In a same way proving Theorem 3.1 and by smooth approximation one can introduce the following result.

Theorem 6.1. *The PQC $[f(B), B]^{(TQ)}$ exists and*

$$(6.7) \quad E \left| [f(B), B]_t^{(TQ)} \right|^2 \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_*}^2$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_*$ and $t \in [0, T]$. Moreover, if F is an absolutely continuous function such that

$$|F(x)|, |F'(x)| \leq C e^{\beta x^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

with $0 \leq \beta < \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4\sqrt{T}}$, then the following Itô type formula holds:

$$(6.8) \quad F(B_t) = F(0) + \int_0^t F'(B_s) \delta B_s + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} [F'(B), B]_t^{(TQ)}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Recall that Russo and Tudor [28] has showed that $B = \{B_t = u(t, \cdot), t \geq 0\}$ admits a local time $L(t, a) \in L^2(\lambda \times P)$ such that $(a, t) \mapsto L(a, t)$ is jointly continuous, where λ denotes Lebesgue measure, since $B = \{B_t = u(t, \cdot), t \geq 0\}$ is a bi-fractional Brownian motion for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Define the weighted local time $\mathcal{L}$ of $B = \{B_t = u(t, \cdot), t \geq 0\}$ by

$$\mathcal{L}(x, t) = \int_0^t \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi s}} d_s L(s, x) \equiv \int_0^t \delta(B_s - x) \frac{ds}{2\sqrt{\pi s}}$$

for $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where δ is the Dirac delta function. Then, the occupation formula

$$(6.9) \quad \int_0^t \psi(B_s, s) \frac{ds}{2\sqrt{\pi s}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} da \int_0^t \psi(a, s) \mathcal{L}(a, ds)$$

holds for every continuous and bounded function $\psi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any $x \geq 0$. As in Section 5, we can show that the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{\Delta}(x) \mathcal{L}(dx, t) := \sum_j f_j [\mathcal{L}(a_j, t) - \mathcal{L}(a_{j-1}, t)].$$

is well-defined and

$$(6.10) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{\Delta}(x) \mathcal{L}(dx, t) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [f_{\Delta}(B), B]_t^{(TQ)}$$

almost surely, for all $f_{\Delta} = \sum_j f_j 1_{(a_{j-1}, a_j]} \in \mathcal{E}$. By the denseness of $\mathcal{E}$ in $\mathcal{H}_*$ one can define

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \mathcal{L}(dx, t) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{\Delta, n}(x) \mathcal{L}(dx, t)$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{H}_*$, where $\{f_{\Delta, n}\} \subset \mathcal{E}$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{\Delta, n} = f$$

in $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, the Bouleau-Yor type formula

$$(6.11) \quad [f(B), B]_t^{(TQ)} = -\sqrt{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \mathcal{L}(dx, t)$$

holds, almost surely, for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_*$.

Corollary 6.1 (Tanaka formula). *For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$|B_t - x| = |x| + \int_0^t \text{sign}(B_s - x) \delta B_s + \mathcal{L}(x, t).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Alós, O. Mazet and D. Nualart, Stochastic calculus with respect to Gaussian processes, *Ann. Probab.* **29** (2001), 766-801.
- [2] N. Bouleau and M. Yor, Sur la variation quadratique des temps locaux de certaines semimartingales, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **292** (1981), 491-494.
- [3] G. Da Prato, A. Jentzen and M. Röckner, A mild Itô formula for SPDEs, preprint 2012 (arXiv:1009.3526(2012)).
- [4] L. Denis, Solutions of stochastic partial differential equations considered as Dirichlet processes, *Bernoulli* **10** (2004), 783-827.
- [5] A. Deya and S. Tindel, Malliavin calculus for fractional heat equation, Malliavin calculus and stochastic analysis, 361-384, *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.* **34**, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [6] N. Eisenbaum, Integration with respect to local time, *Potent. Anal.* **13** (2000), 303-328.
- [7] N. Eisenbaum, Local time-space stochastic calculus for Lévy processes, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **116** (2006), 757-778.
- [8] C. R. Feng and H. Z. Zhao, Two-parameters p, q -variation Paths and Integrations of Local Times, *Potent. Anal.* **25** (2006), 165-204.
- [9] C. Feng and H. Zhao, Local time rough path for Lévy processes, *Elect. J. Probab.* **15** (2010), 452-483.
- [10] H. Föllmer, Ph. Protter and A. N. Shiriyayev, Quadratic covariation and an extension of Itô's formula, *Bernoulli*, **1** (1995), 149-169.
- [11] D. Geman, J. Horowitz, Occupation densities, *Ann. Probab.* **8** (1980), 1-67.
- [12] M. Gradinaru and I. Nourdin, Approximation at first and second order of m-order integrals of the fractional Brownian motion and of certain semimartingales, *Electron. J. Probab.* **8** (2003), paper 18, 1-26.
- [13] M. Gradinaru, I. Nourdin, S. Tindel, Itô's- and Tanaka's-type formulae for the stochastic heat equation: The linear case, *J. Funct. Anal.* **228** (2005), 114-143.
- [14] C. Houdré and J. Villa, An example of infinite dimensional quasi-helix, *Stochastic models (Mexico City, 2002)*, pp.195-201, Contemp. Math., **336** (2003), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- [15] I. Kruk, F. Russo and C. A. Tudor, Wiener integrals, Malliavin calculus and covariance measure structure, *J. Funct. Anal.* **249** (2007), 92-142.

- [16] N. V. Krylov, An analytic approach to SPDEs. In Stochastic Partial Differential Equations: Six Perspectives (R. A. Carmona and B. Rozovskii, eds.) 185-242. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 1999.
- [17] A. Lanconelli, White noise approach to the Itô formula for the stochastic heat equation, *Commun. Stoch. Anal.* **1** (2007), 311-320.
- [18] A. Lanconelli, A remark on the renormalized square of the solution of the stochastic heat equation and on its associated evolution, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* **12** (2009), 497-502.
- [19] P. Lei and D. Nualart, A decomposition of the bi-fractional Brownian motion and some applications, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **79** (2009), 619-624.
- [20] J. A. León and S. Tindel, Itô formula for linear fractional PDEs, *Stochastics* **80** (2008), 427-50.
- [21] S. Moret and D. Nualart, Quadratic covariation and Itô's formula for smooth nondegenerate martingales, *J. Theoret. Probab.*, **13** (2000), 193-224.
- [22] D. Nualart, *Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics*, 2nd edition Springer, New York (2006).
- [23] D. Nualart and Pierre-A. Vuillermot, Variational solutions for partial differential equations driven by a fractional noise, *J. Funct. Anal.* **232** (2006), 390-454.
- [24] H. Ouahhabi and Ciprian A. Tudor, Additive Functionals of the Solution to Fractional Stochastic Heat Equation, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **19** (2013), 777-791.
- [25] E. Pardoux, Two-sided stochastic calculus for SPDEs, In Stochastic partial differential equations and applications (Trento, 1985), vol. **1236** of Lecture Notes in Math. Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 200-207.
- [26] G. Peskir, A change-of-variable formula with local time on curves, *J. Theoret. Probab.* **18** (2005), 499-535.
- [27] C. G. Rogers and J. B. Walsh, Local time and stochastic area integrals, *Ann. Probab.* **19** (1991), 457-482.
- [28] F. Russo and Ciprian A. Tudor, On bifractional Brownian motion, *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **116** (2006), 830-856.
- [29] F. Russo and P. Vallois, Itô formula for $\mathcal{C}^1$ -functions of semimartingales, *Probab. Theory Rel. Fields.* **104** (1996), 27-41.
- [30] F. Russo and P. Vallois, Stochastic calculus with respect to a continuous finite quadratic variation process, *Stochastics and Stochastics Reports*, **70** (2000), 1-40.
- [31] F. Russo and P. Vallois, Elements of stochastic calculus via regularization, *Séminaire de Probabilités XL* (2007), 147-185.
- [32] J. Swanson, Variations of the solution to a stochastic heat equation, *Ann. Prob.* **35** (2007), 2122-2159.
- [33] S. Torres, Ciprian A. Tudor and Frederi G. Viens, Quadratic variations for the fractional-colored stochastic heat equation, *Electron. J. Probab.* **19** (2014), no. 76, 1-51.
- [34] C. A. Tudor, Chaos expansion and regularity of the local time of the solution to the stochastic heat equation with additive fractional-colored noise, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **17** (2013), 1765-1777.
- [35] Ciprian A. Tudor and Y. Xiao, Some path properties of bi-fractional brownian motion, *Bernoulli*, **13** (2007), 1023-1052.
- [36] Ciprian A. Tudor and Y. Xiao, Sample paths of the solution to the fractional-colored stochastic heat equation, submitted 2015 (arXiv:1501.06828v1 [math.PR] 27 Jan 2015).
- [37] D. Wu, On the solution process for a stochastic fractional partial differential equation driven by space-time white noise, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **81** (2011), 1161-1172.
- [38] L. Yan, B. Gao and J. Liu, The Bouleau-Yor identity for a bi-fBm, *Stochastic*, **86** (2014), 382-414.
- [39] L. Yan, J. Liu and C. Chen, The generalized quadratic covariation for fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index less than 1/2, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* **17** No. 4, 2014 (32 pages).
- [40] L. Yan, J. Liu and X. Yang, Integration with respect to fractional local time with Hurst index $1/2 < H < 1$, *Potential Anal.*, **30** (2009), 115-138.
- [41] L. Zambotti, Itô-Tanaka's formula for stochastic partial differential equations driven by additive space-time white noise, In: SPDEs and applications VII, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **245** (2006), pp. 337-347, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton.